

On the Cross-Shaped Matrices

Liu, Xiaobo

2023

MIMS EPrint: 2023.1

Manchester Institute for Mathematical Sciences School of Mathematics

The University of Manchester

Reports available from: http://eprints.maths.manchester.ac.uk/ And by contacting: The MIMS Secretary School of Mathematics The University of Manchester Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

ISSN 1749-9097

On the Cross-Shaped Matrices

Xiaobo Liu*

January 20, 2022

Abstract

A cross-shaped matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ has nonzero elements located on the main diagonal and the anti-diagonal, so that the sparsity pattern has the shape of a cross. It is shown that X can be factorized into products of identity-plus-rank-two matrices and can be symmetrically permuted to block diagonal form with 2×2 diagonal blocks and, if n is odd, a 1×1 diagonal block. Exploiting these properties we derive explicit formulae for its determinant, inverse, and characteristic polynomial.

1 Introduction

In this note we study some properties of a class of cross-shaped matrices, which can be viewed as a generalization of a type of compound Jacobi rotation matrices used in the parallel Jacobi algorithm for symmetric eigenvalue problems [2, sect. 5.5], [3]. Any matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ in the class has the form



for n = 2k + 1, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and, if n = 2k,



*Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, England (xiaobo.liu@manchester.ac.uk, https://xiaobo-liu.github.io).

To the best of our knowledge, this class of matrices were first exploited in [1, sect. 5.8] for studying eigenvalues of real symmetric matrices. Any real symmetric matrix A can be transformed to a symmetric cross-shaped matrix through orthogonal similarity transformations that preserve the eigenvalues of A, which then can be calculated exploiting the cross-shaped form by solving uncoupled quadratic characteristic equations.

2 Factorization into products of identity-plus-rank-two matrices

Let us first consider the case where X in (1.1) has order n = 2k + 1. The matrix can be factorized as

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1,2k+1} \\ I_{2k-1} & \\ x_{2k+1,1} & x_{2k+1,2k+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X(2:2k,2:2k) & \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where I_{2k-1} denotes the identity matrix of order 2k - 1, and the submatrix X(2: 2k, 2: 2k) of order 2k - 1 has the same cross-shape form so can be factorized in the same way as

$$X(2:2k,2:2k) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{22} & x_{2,2k} \\ & I_{2k-3} & \\ & x_{2k,2} & & x_{2k,2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X(3:2k-1,3:2k-1) & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the submatrix X(3: 2k - 1, 3: 2k - 1) of order 2k - 3 has the same crossshape form. This process can be continued k times, until we obtain in the (2, 2)block of the right-hand factor the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{kk} & x_{k,k+2} \\ & x_{k+1,k+1} \\ x_{k+2,k} & x_{k+2,k+2} \end{bmatrix} \equiv X(k:k+2,k:k+2)$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_{kk} & x_{k,k+2} \\ & 1 \\ & x_{k+2,k} & x_{k+2,k+2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

On completion of the process, we obtain a factorization

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1,2k+1} \\ I_{2k-1} & & \\ x_{2k+1,1} & x_{2k+1,2k+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ x_{22} & x_{2,2k} \\ & I_{2k-3} & & \\ x_{2k,2} & x_{2k,2k} & & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdots$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{kk} & x_{k,k+2} \\ & & 1 & & \\ & x_{k+2,k} & x_{k+2,k+2} & & \\ 0 & & & & I_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_k & & \\ & x_{k+1,k+1} & \\ & & I_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

and each of the first k factors is a rank-2 perturbation of the identity matrix and the last one is a rank-1 perturbation of the identity matrix.

For the case where X in (1.2) has order n = 2k, it is easy to show by using the technique above that the factorization has a similar form to (2.2) except that the last factor is a rank-2 perturbation of the identity matrix:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1,2k} \\ I_{2k-2} \\ x_{2k,1} & x_{2k,2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ x_{22} & x_{2,2k-1} \\ I_{2k-4} \\ x_{2k-1,2} & x_{2k-1,2k-1} \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdots$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{k-2} & & & 0 \\ & x_{k-1,k-1} & x_{k-1,k+2} \\ & & I_2 \\ & & x_{k+2,k-1} & x_{k+2,k+2} \\ 0 & & & & I_{k-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & \\ & x_{kk} & x_{k,k+1} \\ & & x_{k+1,k} & x_{k+1,k+1} \\ & & & & I_{k-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2.3)$$

where the *i*th factor is the identity matrix with the intersection of its *i*th and (2k + 1 - i)st rows and columns replaced by that of X.

The factorizations (2.2) and (2.3) can be exploited to derive many important properties of the cross-shaped matrices, as we will show in the next sections. Before that we now derive a formula for the determinant and inverse of the matrix factors in these factorizations.

Consider first the $2k \times 2k$ matrix

$$Y_{i} := \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{ii} & x_{i,2k+1-i} \\ & & I_{2k-2i} & & \\ & x_{2k+1-i,i} & & x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i} \\ 0 & & & & I_{i-1} \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.4)

which is the identity matrix I_{2k} with the intersection of its *i*th and (2k + 1 - i)st rows and columns replaced by that of X. We can form

$$P_{i}Y_{i}P_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{ii} & x_{i,2k+1-i} & & \\ & x_{2k+1-i,i} & x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i} & & \\ & & & I_{2k-2i} & \\ 0 & & & & I_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} =: \widetilde{Y}_{i}, \qquad (2.5)$$

where P_i is the *elementary* permutation matrix (which is symmetric) formed by swapping the (i+1)st and (2k+1-i)st columns of the identity matrix I_{2k} , and \tilde{Y}_i is in block diagonal form so its determinent and inverse can be easily computed.

It follows from (2.5) that

$$\det(Y_{i}) = \det(P_{i}) \det(\widetilde{Y}_{i}) \det(P_{i}) = \det(\widetilde{Y}_{i})$$

$$= \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_{ii} & x_{i,2k+1-i} \\ x_{2k+1-i,i} & x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= x_{ii}x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i} - x_{i,2k+1-i}x_{2k+1-i,i} =: \alpha_{i}$$
(2.6)

and, if $\alpha_i \neq 0$,

$$Y_{i}^{-1} = P_{i} \widetilde{Y}_{i}^{-1} P_{i} = P_{i} \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & & & 0 \\ x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i}/\alpha_{i} & -x_{i,2k+1-i}/\alpha_{i} & & \\ & -x_{2k+1-i,i}/\alpha_{i} & x_{ii}/\alpha_{i} & & \\ 0 & & & I_{2k-2i} & \\ & & & I_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} P_{i}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & & & 0 \\ x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i}/\alpha_{i} & -x_{i,2k+1-i}/\alpha_{i} & \\ & & I_{2k-2i} & \\ & & & & I_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (2.7)$$

which shows that the computation of the determinent and inverse of Y_i only involves that of a 2 × 2 principal submatrix $Y_i([i, 2k + 1 - i], [i, 2k + 1 - i])$.

Note that the determinant and inverse of the first k factors of size $(2k + 1) \times (2k + 1)$ in (2.2) are easily seen to have the same form as (2.6) and (2.7) (albeit with slightly different indices) since these factors have exactly the same form as Y_i in (2.4). Specifically, for the $(2k + 1) \times (2k + 1)$ matrix

$$Z_{i} := \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{ii} & & x_{i,2k+2-i} \\ & & I_{2k+1-2i} & & \\ & & x_{2k+2-i,i} & & x_{2k+2-i,2k+2-i} \\ 0 & & & & I_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.8)

the determinant and inverse are given by

$$\det(Z_i) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_{ii} & x_{i,2k+2-i} \\ x_{2k+2-i,i} & x_{2k+2-i,2k+2-i} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= x_{ii}x_{2k+2-i,2k+2-i} - x_{i,2k+2-i}x_{2k+2-i,i} =: \beta_i$$
(2.9)

and, if $\beta_i \neq 0$,

$$Z_{i}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{i-1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{2k+2-i,2k+2-i}/\beta_{i} & & -x_{i,2k+2-i}/\beta_{i} \\ & & I_{2k+1-2i} & & \\ & -x_{2k+2-i,i}/\beta_{i} & & x_{ii}/\beta_{i} \\ 0 & & & I_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (2.10)

3 Permutation into block diagonal form

Indeed, more can be said about the cross-shaped matrices X: one can always permute X into block diagonal form PXP^T with 2×2 diagonal blocks and a 1×1 diagonal block if n is odd, where P is a permutation matrix. To illustrate this, we again start from the definitions (1.1) and (1.2) of X. Consider first the case n = 2k. We have, using the elementary permutation matrices P_i defined in (2.5),

$$P_{1}XP_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1,2k} \\ x_{2k,1} & x_{2k,2k} \\ & X(3:2k-2,3:2k-2) \\ & x_{2k-1,2k-1} & x_{2k-1,2} \\ & x_{2,2k-1} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\equiv \begin{bmatrix} B_{1} \\ X(3:2k-2,3:2k-2) \\ & C_{1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where we have defined the 2×2 matrices

$$B_i := \begin{bmatrix} x_{2i-1,2i-1} & x_{2i-1,2k+2-2i} \\ x_{2k+2-2i,2i-1} & x_{2k+2-2i,2k+2-2i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_i := \begin{bmatrix} x_{2k+1-2i,2k+1-2i} & x_{2k+1-2i,2i} \\ x_{2i,2k+1-2i} & x_{2i,2i} \end{bmatrix},$$

and then

$$P_3 P_1 X P_1 P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & & & \\ & B_2 & & \\ & & X(5:2k-4,5:2k-4) & & \\ & & & C_2 & \\ & & & & C_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we apply a similarity transformation with P_5 to the resulting matrix to exchange the second and last columns and rows of the submatrix X(5: 2k - 4, 5: 2k - 4). This process can be continued $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor$ times until we obtain (for either n = 4m or n = 4m + 2, $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$, we need m two-side permutations applied to X in total)

$$PXP^{T} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{diag}(B_{1}, \dots, B_{m}, C_{m}, \dots, C_{1}), & n = 4m, \\ \operatorname{diag}(B_{1}, \dots, B_{m}, X(k: k+1, k: k+1), C_{m}, \dots, C_{1}), & n = 4m+2, \end{cases}$$

where $P := P_{2m-1} \cdots P_3 P_1$ (note that $P^T = P_1^T P_3^T \cdots P_{2m-1}^T = P_1 P_3 \cdots P_{2m-1}$ since $P_i = P_i^T$) is a permutation matrix.

The case for n = 2k + 1 is rather similar but can be slightly different in the final step. Starting with the X from (1.1), we have

$$Q_{1}XQ_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1,2k+1} \\ x_{2k+1,1} & x_{2k+1,2k+1} \\ & & X(3:2k-1,3:2k-1) \\ & & & x_{2k,2k} & x_{2k,2} \\ & & & x_{2,2k} & x_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\equiv \begin{bmatrix} E_{1} \\ X(3:2k-2,3:2k-2) \\ & & G_{1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where Q_i is the elementary permutation matrix (which is symmetric) formed by swapping the (i+1)st and (2k+2-i)st columns of the identity matrix I_{2k+1} and we have defined the 2 × 2 matrices

$$E_i := \begin{bmatrix} x_{2i-1,2i-1} & x_{2i-1,2k+3-2i} \\ x_{2k+3-2i,2i-1} & x_{2k+3-2i,2k+3-2i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_i := \begin{bmatrix} x_{2k+2-2i,2k+2-2i} & x_{2k+2-2i,2i} \\ x_{2i,2k+2-2i} & x_{2i,2i} \end{bmatrix},$$

and then

$$Q_3 Q_1 X Q_1 Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & & & \\ & E_2 & & \\ & & X(5: 2k-3, 5: 2k-3) & \\ & & & G_2 & \\ & & & & & G_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we apply a two-side permutation with Q_5 to the resulting matrix to exchange the second and last columns and rows of the submatrix X(5: 2k-3, 5: 2k-3). We can continue this process $\lfloor n/4 \rfloor$ times to arrive at

$$QXQ^{T} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{diag}(E_{1}, \dots, E_{m}, x_{k+1,k+1}, G_{m}, \dots, G_{1}), & n = 4m + 1, \\ \operatorname{diag}(E_{1}, \dots, E_{m}, X(k: k+2, k: k+2), G_{m}, \dots, G_{1}), & n = 4m + 3, \end{cases}$$

where $Q := Q_{2m-1} \cdots Q_3 Q_1$. So for the case of n = 4m + 1 the right-hand side is already in the desired form, while for the other case one more transformation is needed for the central 3×3 block X(k: k+2, k: k+2):

$$\widetilde{Q}X\widetilde{Q}^T = \operatorname{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_m, E_{m+1}, x_{k+1,k+1}, G_m, \dots, G_1),$$

where $\widetilde{Q} := Q_{2m+1}Q$. To summarize, for n = 4m + 1 we need m two-side permutations and for n = 4m + 3 the number of permutations required is m + 1, and we have

$$QXQ^{T} = \text{diag}(E_{1}, \dots, E_{m}, x_{k+1,k+1}, G_{m}, \dots, G_{1}), \qquad n = 4m + 1,$$

$$\widetilde{Q}X\widetilde{Q}^{T} = \text{diag}(E_{1}, \dots, E_{m}, E_{m+1}, x_{k+1,k+1}, G_{m}, \dots, G_{1}), \qquad n = 4m + 3,$$

where $Q = Q_{2m-1} \cdots Q_3 Q_1$ and $\widetilde{Q} = Q_{2m+1} Q$ are permutation matrices.

We have shown the cross-shaped matrix X is unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix with 2×2 blocks and a 1×1 block if n is odd. This actually provides a more straightforward proof to the formulas of the determinant and eigenvalues of X. It is not hard to see from the discussion above that any powers of X has the same shape (including negative powers if X is nonsingular), as we are essentially powering the symmetrically permuted block diagonal form and then recovering the permutation. Furthermore, with a similar argument we can conclude that any matrix functions, for example, the matrix exponential and the matrix logarithm, of X has the same cross-shaped form.

4 The determinant

From the factorizations (2.2), (2.3), using the explicit formulae (2.6), (2.9) for each of the factors, it is straightforward to obtain the formula

$$\det(X) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x_{ii}x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i} - x_{i,2k+1-i}x_{2k+1-i,i}) & n = 2k, \\ x_{k+1,k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (x_{ii}x_{2k+2-i,2k+2-i} - x_{i,2k+2-i}x_{2k+2-i,i}), & n = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

5 The inverse

We start by showing an important property of the factorizations of X discussed in Section 2 which we will need later in this section. Again, let us consider the case where X has order n = 2k + 1 to illustrate. At the start of the factorization process (2.1), we could alternatively form

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & X(2:2k,2:2k) & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & & x_{1,2k+1} \\ & I_{2k-1} & \\ & x_{2k+1,1} & & x_{2k+1,2k+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

(In fact, it is not hard to see that the two factor matrices on the right-hand side commute) and, similarly, for the submatrix X(2:2k,2:2k) we can have

$$X(2:2k,2:2k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & X(3:2k-1,3:2k-1) & \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{22} & & x_{2,2k} \\ & I_{2k-3} & \\ & x_{2k,2k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This process can also be continued for k times, until we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{kk} & x_{k,k+2} \\ & x_{k+1,k+1} \\ & x_{k+2,k} & x_{k+2,k+2} \end{bmatrix} \equiv X(k:k+2,k:k+2) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ & x_{k+1,k+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{kk} & x_{k,k+2} \\ & 1 \\ & x_{k+2,k} & x_{k+2,k+2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

On completion of this process we obtain a factorization

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & & & \\ & x_{k+1,k+1} & & \\ & & & I_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & 0 \\ & x_{kk} & x_{k,k+2} & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & x_{k+2,k} & x_{k+2,k+2} & & \\ 0 & & & I_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \cdots$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & x_{22} & & x_{2,2k} \\ & & I_{2k-3} & & \\ & & x_{2k,2} & & x_{2k,2k} & \\ 0 & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & & x_{1,2k+1} \\ & I_{2k-1} & & \\ & x_{2k+1,1} & & x_{2k+1,2k+1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.1)$$

where the factors are in the reverse order of that in (2.2). Similarly, for the case where X has order n = 2k, the alternative form of the factorization is

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & \\ & x_{kk} & x_{k,k+1} \\ & & x_{k+1,k} & x_{k+1,k+1} \\ & & & & I_{2} \\ & & & & I_{2} \\ & & & & I_{k-2} \end{bmatrix} \cdots$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ & x_{22} & x_{2,2k-1} \\ & & & I_{2k-4} \\ & & & & I_{2k-4} \\ & & & & I_{2k-4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1,2k} \\ & I_{2k-2} \\ & & & I_{2k-2} \end{bmatrix} \cdots$$
(5.2)

Now assume that X is nonsingular. For n = 2k + 1, we invert both sides of (2.2), using the explicit inversion formula (2.10) for Z_i , to get

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} I_k & & & \\ & x_{k+1,k+1} & & \\ & & I/x_{k+1,k+1} \\ & & & I_k \end{bmatrix}^{-1} Z_k^{-1} Z_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots Z_2^{-1} Z_1^{-1} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

whose right-hand side has exactly the same form as that of the factorization (5.1), and so, by comparing them, we have

$$X^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{2k+1,2k+1}/\beta_1 & & -x_{1,2k+1}/\beta_1 \\ & x_{2k,2k}/\beta_2 & & -x_{2,2k}/\beta_2 \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1/x_{k+1,k+1} & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -x_{2k,2}/\beta_2 & & & x_{22}/\beta_2 \\ -x_{2k+1,1}/\beta_1 & & & & & x_{11}/\beta_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the β_i , i = 1: k, are defined in (2.9).

Similarly, for n = 2k, we invert both sides of (2.3), using the explicit inversion formula (2.7) for Y_i , to obtain

$$\begin{split} X^{-1} = & Y_{k}^{-1} Y_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots Y_{2}^{-1} Y_{1}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & \\ & \frac{x_{k+1,k+1}}{\alpha_{k}} & \frac{-x_{k,k+1}}{\alpha_{k}} \\ & & -\frac{x_{k+1,k}}{\alpha_{k}} & \frac{x_{kk}}{\alpha_{k}} \\ & & & I_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-2} & & & & 0 \\ & \frac{x_{k+2,k+2}}{\alpha_{k-1}} & -\frac{x_{k-1,k+2}}{\alpha_{k-1}} \\ & & I_{2} \\ & & & I_{k-2} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \\ & & & & I_{k-2} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & x_{2k-1,2k-1}/\alpha_{2} & & -x_{2,2k-1}/\alpha_{2} \\ & & & I_{2k-4} \\ & & & & & I_{2k-4} \\ & & & & & I_{2k-4} \\ & & & & & & I_{2k-2} \\ & I_$$

whose right-hand side has exactly the same form as that of the factorization (5.2), and so, by comparing them, we have

where the α_i , i = 1: k are defined as in (2.6).

An alternative approach to get the inverse is via the adjugate of X, which is defined by $\operatorname{adj}(X) = ((-1)^{1+j} \operatorname{det}(X_{ji}))$, where X_{ji} denotes the submatrix of Xobtained by deleting row j and column i. Since all X_{ji} are in the cross-shaped form, the right-hand side of the formula $X^{-1} = \operatorname{adj}(X)/\operatorname{det}(X)$ essentially only involves the determinant of cross-shaped matrices, for which we have already obtained explicit formulas.

6 Eigenvalues

Let us first consider the case where n = 2k. To study the eigenvalues of X we consider its characteristic polynomial, which, by exploiting the factorization (2.3), is the determinant of

$$X - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} - \lambda & x_{1,2k} \\ I_{2k-2} \\ x_{2k,1} & x_{2k,2k} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 0 \\ x_{22} - \lambda & x_{2,2k-1} \\ I_{2k-4} \\ x_{2k-1,2} & x_{2k-1,2k-1} - \lambda \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\cdots \begin{bmatrix} I_{k-1} & & & \\ x_{kk} - \lambda & x_{k,k+1} \\ x_{k+1,k} & x_{k+1,k+1} - \lambda \\ & & & I_{k-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and each of the factors on the right-hand side is in the form of (2.4). So using the determinantal formula (2.6), we obtain

$$\det(X - \lambda I) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left((x_{ii} - \lambda)(x_{2k+1-i,2k+1-i} - \lambda) - x_{i,2k+1-i}x_{2k+1-i,i} \right).$$

Similarly, for n = 2k + 1, using (2.2), (2.8), and (2.9) we have

$$\det(X - \lambda I) = (x_{k+1,k+1} - \lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{k} ((x_{ii} - \lambda)(x_{2k+2-i,2k+2-i} - \lambda) - x_{i,2k+2-i}x_{2k+2-i,i}).$$

Hence the eigenvalues of X are the roots of these |n/2| scalar quadratic equations.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Royal Society. I wish to thank Prof. Nick Higham for his valuable comments on the report.

References

- [1] Jagdish J. Modi. *Parallel Algorithms and Matrix Computation*. Oxford University Press, 1988. xi+260 pp. ISBN 0-19-859670-7.
- [2] Pythagoras Papadimitriou. Parallel Solution of SVD-Related Problems, With Applications. PhD thesis, University of Manchester, Manchester, England, October 1993.
- [3] Ahmed H. Sameh. On Jacobi and Jacobi-like algorithms for a parallel computer. *Math. Comp.*, 25(115):579–590, 1971.