The local Langlands correspondence for inner forms of SL$_n$

Aubert, Anne-Marie and Baum, Paul and Plymen, Roger and Solleveld, Maarten

2013

MIMS EPrint: **2013.38**

ISSN 1749-9097

THE LOCAL LANGLANDS CORRESPONDENCE FOR INNER FORMS OF SL_n

ANNE-MARIE AUBERT, PAUL BAUM, ROGER PLYMEN, AND MAARTEN SOLLEVELD

Abstract. Let F be a non-archimedean local field. We establish the local Langlands correspondence for all inner forms of the group $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$. It takes the form of a bijection between, on the one hand, conjugacy classes of Langlands parameters for $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ enhanced with an irreducible representation of an S-group and, on the other hand, the union of the spaces of irreducible admissible representations of all inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$. An analogous result is shown in the archimedean case.

To settle the case where F has positive characteristic, we employ the method of close fields. We prove that this method is compatible with the local Langlands correspondence for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, when the fields are close enough compared to the depth of the representations.

Contents

1. Introduction

Let F be a local field and let D be a division algebra with centre F, of dimension d^2 over F. Then $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_{md}(F)$ and it is endowed with a reduced norm map Nrd: $\mathrm{GL}_m(D) \to F^\times$. The group $G^\sharp := \ker(\mathrm{Nrd} : G \to F^\times)$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$. In this paper we will complete the local Langlands correspondence for $G^\sharp$.

We sketch how it goes and which part of it is new. Let $\mathrm{Irr}(H)$ denote the set of (isomorphism classes of) irreducible admissible H-representations. If H is a reductive group over a local field, we denote the collection of equivalence classes of Langlands parameters for H by $\Phi(H)$. The local Langlands correspondence (LLC) for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ was established in the important papers [Lan, LRS, HaTa, Hen2, Zel].

Date: July 17, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G05, 22E50.

Key words and phrases. representation theory, local Langlands conjecture, division algebra, close fields.

The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1200475.

Together with the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence this provides the LLC for inner forms $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, see [HiSa, ABPS]. For these groups every L-packet $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is a singleton and the LLC is a canonical bijective map

$$\mathrm{rec}_{D,m} : \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_m(D)) \to \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_m(D)). \tag{1}$$

The LLC for inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ is derived from the above, in the sense that every L-packet for $G^\sharp$ consists of the irreducible constituents of $\mathrm{Res}^G_{G^\sharp}(\Pi_\phi(G))$. Of course these L-packets have more than one element in general. To parametrize the members of $\Pi_\phi(G^\sharp)$ one must enhance the Langlands parameter ϕ with an irreducible representation of a suitable component group. This idea originated for unipotent representations of p-adic reductive groups in [Lus1, § 1.5]. For $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$, ϕ is a map from the Weil–Deligne group of F to $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and a correct choice is the group of components of the centralizer of ϕ in $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, see [GeKn]. In general a more subtle component group $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ is needed, see [Vog, Art].

Let $\Phi^e(G^\sharp)$ be the collection of equivalence classes (ϕ, ρ) of a Langlands parameter ϕ for $G^\sharp$, enhanced with $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi)$. The LLC for $G^\sharp$ should be an injective map

$$\mathrm{Irr}(G^\sharp) \to \Phi^e(G^\sharp), \tag{2}$$

which satisfies several naturality properties. The map will almost never be surjective, but for every ϕ which is relevant for $G^\sharp$ the image should contain at least one pair (ϕ, ρ). This form of the LLC was proven for generic representations of $G^\sharp$ in [HiSa], under the assumption that the underlying local field has characteristic zero.

A remarkable aspect of Langlands' conjectures [Vog] is that it is better to consider not just one reductive group at a time, but all inner forms of a given group simultaneously. Inner forms share the same Langlands dual group, so in (2) the right hand side is the same for all inner forms H of the given group. The hope is that one can turn (2) into a bijection by taking the union of the sets $\mathrm{Irr}(H)$ on the left hand side. Such a statement was proven for unipotent representations of simple p-adic groups in [Lus2].

Let us make this explicit for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, respectively $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$. As Langlands dual group we take $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, respectively $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. To deal with inner forms it is advantageous to consider the conjugation action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on these two groups. It induces a natural action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on the collection of Langlands parameters for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$. For any such parameter ϕ we can define

$$\begin{aligned} & C(\phi) = Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{im}\ \phi), \\ & \mathcal{S}_\phi = C(\phi)/C(\phi)^\circ, \\ & \mathcal{Z}_\phi = Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap C(\phi)^\circ \cong Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))C(\phi)^\circ/C(\phi)^\circ. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Notice that the centralizers are taken in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and not in the Langlands dual group. The groups in (3) are related to the more usual component group $S_\phi := Z_{\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{im}\ \phi)/Z_{\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathrm{im}\ \phi)^\circ$ by the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{Z}_\phi \to \mathcal{S}_\phi \to S_\phi \to 1.$$

Hence $\mathcal{S}_\phi$ has more irreducible representations than S_ϕ. Via the Langlands correspondence the additional ones are associated to irreducible representations of non-split inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ or $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$.

For example, consider a Langlands parameter ϕ for $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ which is elliptic, that is, whose image is not contained in any torus of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then $S_\phi = 1$ but

$\mathcal{S}_\phi = Z(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \{\pm 1\}$. The pair $(\phi, \mathrm{triv}_{\mathcal{S}_\phi})$ parametrizes an essentially square-integrable representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ and $(\phi, \mathrm{sgn}_{\mathcal{S}_\phi})$ parametrizes an irreducible representation of the inner form $D^\times$, where D denotes a noncommutative division algebra of dimension 4 over F.

For general linear groups over local fields we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *(see Theorem 2.2)*
There is a canonical bijection between:

- *pairs* (G, π) *with* $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)$ *and* G *an inner form of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$*, considered up to equivalence;*
- $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$*-conjugacy classes of pairs* (ϕ, ρ) *with* $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ *and* $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi)$.

For these Langlands parameters $\mathcal{S}_\phi = \mathcal{Z}_\phi$ and a character of $\mathcal{Z}_\phi$ determines an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ via the Kottwitz isomorphism [Kot]. In contrast with the usual LLC, our packets for general linear groups need not be singletons. To be precise, the packet Π_ϕ contains the unique representation $\mathrm{rec}_{D,m}^{-1}(\phi)$ of $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ if ϕ is relevant for G, and no G-representations otherwise.

A similar result holds for special linear groups, but with a few modifications. Firstly, one loses canonicity, because in general there seems to be no natural way to parametrize the members of an L-packet $\Pi_\phi(G^\sharp)$ (if there are more than one). Secondly, the quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H}$ turns out to occupy an exceptional position. Our local Langlands correspondence for inner forms of the special linear group over a local field F can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *(see Theorems 3.2 and 3.3)*
There exists a correspondence between:

- *pairs* $(G^\sharp, \pi)$ *with* $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G^\sharp)$ *and* $G^\sharp$ *an inner form of* $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$*, considered up to equivalence;*
- $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$*-conjugacy classes of pairs* (ϕ, ρ) *with* $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{SL}_n(F))$ *and* $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi)$*,*

which is almost bijective, the only exception being that pairs $(\mathrm{SL}_{n/2}(\mathbb{H}), \pi)$ *correspond to two parameters* (ϕ, ρ_1) *and* (ϕ, ρ_2).

(*a*) *The group* $G^\sharp$ *determines* $\rho|_{\mathcal{Z}_\phi}$ *and conversely.*

(*b*) *The correspondence satisfies the desired properties from* [Bor, §10.3]*, with respect to restriction from inner forms of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$*, temperedness and essential square-integrability of representations.*

For p-adic fields F, the above theorem can be derived rather quickly from the work of Hiraga and Saito [HiSa].

In the archimedean case the classification of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SL}_m(D))$ is well-known, at least for $D \neq \mathbb{H}$. The main value of our result lies in the strong analogy with the non-archimedean case. The reason for the lack of bijectivity for the special linear groups over the quaternions is easily identified. Namely, the reduced norm map for $\mathbb{H}$ satisfies $\mathrm{Nrd}(\mathbb{H}^\times) = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ whereas for all other local division algebras D with centre F the reduced norm map is surjective, that is, $\mathrm{Nrd}(D^\times) = F^\times$. Of course there are various ad hoc ways to restore the bijectivity in Theorem 1.2, but one may also argue that for $\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{H})$ one would actually be better off without any component groups.

By far the most difficult case of Theorem 1.2 is that where the local field F has positive characteristic. The paper [HiSa] does not apply in this case, and it seems hard to generalize the techniques from [HiSa] to fields of positive characteristic.

Our solution is to use the method of close fields to reduce it to the p-adic case. Let F be a local field of characteristic p, $\mathfrak{o}_F$ its ring of integers and $\mathfrak{p}_F$ the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}_F$. There exist finite extensions $\widetilde{F}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which are l-close to F, which means that $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F^l$ is isomorphic to the corresponding ring for $\widetilde{F}$. Let $\widetilde{D}$ be a division algebra with centre $\widetilde{F}$, such that D and $\widetilde{D}$ have the same Hasse invariant. Let K_r be the standard congruence subgroup of level $r \in \mathbb{N}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathfrak{o}_D)$ and let $\mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r)$ be the set of irreducible representations of $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ with nonzero K_r-invariant vectors. Define $\widetilde{K_r} \subset \mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D})$ and $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D}), \widetilde{K_r})$ in the same way.

For l sufficiently large compared to r, the method of close fields provides a bijection

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_m(D), K_r) \to \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D}), \widetilde{K_r}) \tag{4}$$

which preserves almost all the available structure [Bad1]. But this is not enough for Theorem 1.2, we also need to relate to the local Langlands correspondence. The l-closeness of F and $\widetilde{F}$ implies that the quotient of the Weil group of F by its l-th ramification subgroup is isomorphic to the analogous object for $\widetilde{F}$ [Del]. This yields a natural bijection

$$\Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_m(D)) \to \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D})) \tag{5}$$

between Langlands parameters that are trivial on the respective l-th ramification groups. We show that:

Theorem 1.3. *(see Theorems 6.1 and 6.2)*
Suppose that F and $\widetilde{F}$ are l-close and that l is sufficiently large compared to r. Then the maps (1), (4) *and* (5) *form a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_m(D), K_r) & \to & \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D}), \widetilde{K}_r) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_m(D)) & \to & \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D})). \end{array}$$

In the case $D = F$ and $\widetilde{D} = \widetilde{F}$ this holds for all $l > r$.

In other words, the method of close fields essentially preserves Langlands parameters. The proof runs via the only accessible characterization of the LLC for general linear groups: by means of ϵ- and γ-factors of pairs of representations [Hen1].

To apply Henniart's characterization with maximal effect, we establish a result with independent value. Given a Langlands parameter ϕ, we let $d(\phi)$ be the smallest integer such that $\phi \notin \Phi_{d(\phi)}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, that is, the smallest integer such that ϕ is nontrivial on the $d(\phi)$-th ramification group of the Weil group of F. For a supercuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, let $d(\pi)$ be its normalized level, as in [Bus].

Proposition 1.4. *(see Proposition 4.5)*
The local Langlands correspondence for supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ *preserves depths, in the sense that*

$$d(\pi) = d(\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}(\pi)).$$

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Ioan Badulescu for some interesting emails about the method of close fields.

After this paper was completed and posted on the arXiv, the authors were informed by Radhika Ganapathy that there is an overlap with the results and methods of her PhD thesis [Gan]. This concerns Theorems 5.3 and 6.1. R. Ganapathy's work

was done completely independently of this paper. This is a case of independent discovery of very similar results. The existence of an overlap should not in any way deny R. Ganapathy the credit she deserves for her achievement.

2. Inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

Let F be a local field and let D be a division algebra with centre F, of dimension $\dim_F(D) = d^2$. The F-group $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_{md}(F)$, and conversely every inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is isomorphic to such a group.

In the archimedean case there are only three possible division algebras: $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{H})$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$, and (up to isomorphism) that already accounts for all the inner forms of the groups $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. One can parametrize these inner forms with characters of order at most two of $Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, such that $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is associated to the trivial character and

$$\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{H}) \text{ corresponds to the character of order two of } Z(\mathrm{SL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})). \tag{6}$$

Until further notice we assume that F is non-archimedean. We recall how the isomorphism classes of inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ can be parametrized. More or less by definition they are in bijection with the Galois cohomology group $H^1(F, \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. By [Kot, Proposition 6.4] there exists a natural bijection

$$H^1(F, \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathrm{Irr}(Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))) = \mathrm{Irr}(\{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z^n = 1\}). \tag{7}$$

Clearly the map

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z^n = 1\}) \to \{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z^n = 1\} : \chi \mapsto \chi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n))$$

is bijective. The composition of these two maps can be also be interpreted in terms of classical number theory. For $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ with $md = n$, the Hasse-invariant $h(D)$ (in the sense of Brauer theory) is a primitive d-th root of unity. The element of $H^1(F, \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ associated to $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ has the same image $h(D)$ in $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^\times : z^n = 1\}$. In particular $1 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is associated to $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and the primitive n-th roots of unity correspond to multiplicative groups of division algebras of dimension n^2 over their centre F.

Moreover there is a standard presentation of the division algebras D. Let L be the unique unramified extension of F of degree d and let χ be the character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ which sends the Frobenius automorphism to $h(D)$. If ϖ_F is a uniformizer of F, then D is isomorphic to the cyclic algebra $[L/F, \chi, \varpi_F]$, see Definition IX.4.6 and Corollary XII.2.3 of [Wei2]. We will call a group of the form

$$\mathrm{GL}_m([L/F, \chi, \varpi_F]) \tag{8}$$

a standard inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

The local Langlands correspondence for $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ has been known to experts for considerable time, although it did not appear in the literature until recently [HiSa, ABPS]. We need to understand it well for our later arguments, so we recall its construction. It generalizes and relies on the LLC for general linear groups:

$$\mathrm{rec}_{F,n} : \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) \to \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)).$$

The latter was proven for supercuspidal representations in [LRS, HaTa, Hen2], and extended from there to $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ in [Zel].

As G is an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $\check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ on $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ determined by G is by inner automorphisms. Therefore we may take as

Langlands dual group ${}^L G = \check{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ and let $\check{M} \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a Levi subgroup that contains $\mathrm{im}(\phi)$ and is minimal for this property. As for all Levi subgroups,

$$\check{M} \cong \mathrm{GL}_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$$

for some integers n_i with $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$. Then ϕ is relevant for G if and only if $\check{M}$ corresponds to a Levi subgroup $M \subset G$. This is equivalent to $m_i := n_i/d$ being an integer for all i. Moreover in that case

$$M \cong \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(D) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{m_k}(D). \tag{9}$$

Now consider any $\phi \in \Phi(G)$. Conjugating by a suitable element of $\check{G}$, we can achieve that

- $\check{M} = \prod_{i=1}^l \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})^{e_i}$ and $M = \prod_{i=1}^l \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(D)^{e_i}$ are standard Levi subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(C)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$, respectively;
- $\phi = \prod_{i=1}^l \phi_i^{\otimes e_i}$ with $\phi_i \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(D))$ and $\mathrm{im}(\phi_i)$ not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$;
- ϕ_i and ϕ_j are not equivalent if $i \neq j$.

Then $\mathrm{rec}_{F,n_i}^{-1}(\phi_i) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F))$ is essentially square-integrable. Recall that the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence [Rog, DKV, Bad1] is a natural bijection

$$\mathrm{JL} : \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(\mathrm{GL}_m(D)) \to \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$$

between essentially square-integrable irreducible representations of $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. It gives

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &:= \mathrm{JL}^{-1}(\mathrm{rec}_{F,n_i}^{-1}(\phi_i)) \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(\mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(D)), \\ \omega &:= \prod\nolimits_{i=1}^l \omega_i^{\otimes e_i} \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(M). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

We remark that ω is square-integrable modulo centre if and only all $\mathrm{rec}_{F,n_i}^{-1}(\phi_i)$ are so, because this property is preserved by the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence. The Zelevinsky classification for $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F))$ [Zel] (which is used for rec_{F,n_i}) shows that, in the given circumstances, this is equivalent to ϕ_i being bounded. Thus ω is square-integrable modulo centre if and only ϕ is bounded.

The assignment $\phi \mapsto (M, \omega)$ sets up a bijection

$$\Phi(G) \longleftrightarrow \{(M, \omega) : M \text{ a Levi subgroup of } G, \omega \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(M)\}/G. \tag{11}$$

It is known from [DKV, Theorem B.2.d] and [Bad2] that for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ normalized parabolic induction sends irreducible square-integrable (modulo centre) representations to irreducible tempered representations. Together with the Langlands classification [Lan, Kon] this implies that there exists a natural bijection between $\mathrm{Irr}(G)$ and the right hand side of (11). It sends (M, ω) to the unique Langlands quotient $L(P, \omega)$ of $I_P^G(\omega)$, where $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi factor M, with respect to which ω is positive.

The composition

$$\Phi(G) \to \mathrm{Irr}(G) : \phi \mapsto (M, \omega) \mapsto L(P, \omega) \tag{12}$$

is the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$.

By construction $L(P, \omega)$ is essentially square-integrable if and only if ϕ is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$. By the uniqueness part of the

Langlands classification [Kon, Theorem 3.5.ii] $L(P,\omega)$ is tempered if and only if ω is square-integrable modulo centre, which by the above is equivalent to $\phi \in \Phi_{bdd}(G)$.

We note that all the R-groups and component groups are trivial for G, and that all the L-packets $\Pi_\phi(G) = \{L(P,\omega)\}$ are singletons. This means that (12) is bijective, and that it has an inverse

$$\mathrm{rec}_{D,m} : \mathrm{Irr}(G) \to \Phi(G). \tag{13}$$

Because both the LLC for $\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(F))$, the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence and I_P^G respect tensoring with unramified characters, $\mathrm{rec}_{D,m}(L(P,\omega\otimes\chi))$ and $\mathrm{rec}_{D,m}(L(P,\omega))$ differ only by the unramified Langlands parameter for M which corresponds to χ.

In the archimedean case Langlands [Lan] himself established the correspondence between the irreducible admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ and Langlands parameters. The paper [Lan] applies to all real reductive groups, but it completes the classsification only if parabolic induction of tempered representations of Levi subgroups preserves irreducibility. That is the case for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by the Borel–Weil theorem, and for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{H})$ by [BaRe, §12].

The above method to go from essentially square-integrable to irreducible admissible representations is essentially the same over all local fields, and stems from [Lan]. There also exists a Jacquet–Langlands correspondence over local archimedean fields [DKV, Appendix D]. Actually it is very simple, the only nontrivial cases are $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{H}$. Therefore it is justified to say that (10)–(13) hold in the archimedean case.

With the S-groups from [Art] we can build a more subtle version of (13). Since $Z_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)$ is connected,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\phi = C(\phi)/C(\phi)^\circ = Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)^\circ/Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)^\circ \cong \\ Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/(Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))\cap Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)^\circ).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let $\chi_G \in \mathrm{Irr}(Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})))$ be the character associated to G via (7) or (6).

Lemma 2.1. *A Langlands parameter $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is relevant for $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ if and only if $\ker\chi_G \supset Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))\cap C(\phi)^\circ$.*

Proof. This is [HiSa, Lemma 9.1] for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Although a standing assumption in [HiSa] is that $\mathrm{char}(F) = 0$, the proof of this result works just as well in positive characteristic. □

We regard

$$\Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\ \mathrm{GL}_n(F)) := \{(\phi,\rho) : \phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)), \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi)\}$$

as the collection of enhanced Langlands parameters for all inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. With this set can establish the local Langlands correspondence for all such inner forms simultaneously. To make it bijective, we must choose one group in each equivalence class of inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. In the archimedean case it suffices to say that we use the quaternions, and in the non-archimedean case we take the standard inner forms (8).

Theorem 2.2. *Let F be a local field. There exists a canonical bijection*

$$\begin{array}{lll}\Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\,\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) & \to & \{(G,\pi) : G \textit{ standard inner form of } \mathrm{GL}_n(F), \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G)\},\\ (\phi,\chi_G) & \mapsto & (G,\Pi_\phi(G)).\end{array}$$

Proof. The elements of $\Phi^e(\text{inn } \mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ with a fixed $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ are

$$\{(\phi, \chi) : \chi \in \mathrm{Irr}(Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))), \ker \chi \supset Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap C(\phi)^\circ\}. \tag{15}$$

First we consider the non-archimedean case. By Lemma 2.1 and (7), (15) is in bijection with the equivalence classes of inner forms G of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for which ϕ is relevant. Now apply the LLC for G (12).

In the archimedean case the above argument does not suffice, because some characters of $Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ do not parametrize an inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. We proceed by direct calculation, inspired by [Lan, §3].

Suppose that $F = \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathbf{W}_F = \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\mathrm{im}(\phi)$ is just a real torus in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Hence $Z_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)$ is a Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $C(\phi) = Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)$ is the corresponding Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. All Levi subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ are connected, so $\mathcal{S}_\phi = C(\phi)/C(\phi)^\circ = 1$. Consequently $\Phi^e(\text{inn } \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})) = \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, and the theorem for $F = \mathbb{C}$ reduces to the Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Now we take $F = \mathbb{R}$. Recall that its Weil group is defined as

$$\mathbf{W}_\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{C}^\times \cup \mathbb{C}^\times \tau, \text{ where } \tau^2 = -1 \text{ and } \tau z \tau^{-1} = \overline{z}.$$

Let M be a Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ which contains the image of ϕ and is minimal for this property. Then $\phi(\mathbb{C}^\times)$ is contained in a unique maximal torus T of M. By replacing ϕ by a conjugate Langlands parameter, we can achieve that

$$M = \prod\nolimits_{i=1}^n \mathrm{GL}_i(\mathbb{C})^{n_i}$$

is standard and that T is the torus of diagonal matrices. Then the projection of $\phi(\mathbf{W}_\mathbb{R})$ on each factor $\mathrm{GL}_i(\mathbb{C})$ of M has a centralizer in $\mathrm{GL}_i(\mathbb{C})$ which does not contain any torus larger than $Z(\mathrm{GL}_i(\mathbb{C}))$. On the other hand $\phi(\tau)$ normalizes $Z_M(\phi(\mathbb{C}^\times)) = T$, so $Z_{GL_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi) = Z_T(\phi(\tau))$. It follows that $n_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

The projection of $\phi(\tau)$ on each factor $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of M is either $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ or $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Hence $Z_{\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)$ contains the torus

$$T_\phi := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n_1} \times Z(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}))^{n_2}.$$

Suppose that $n_1 > 0$. Then the intersection $T_\phi \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is connected, so

$$Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/(Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)^\circ) = 1.$$

Together with (14) this shows that $\mathcal{S}_\phi = 1$ if n is odd or if n is even and ϕ is not relevant for $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(\mathbb{H})$.

Now suppose $n_1 = 0$. Then $n = 2n_2$, ϕ is relevant for $\mathrm{GL}_{n_2}(\mathbb{H})$ and $T_\phi = \{(z_j I_2)_{j=1}^{n_2} : z_j \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}$. We see that $T_\phi \cap \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has two components, determined by whether $\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j$ equals 1 or -1. Write $\phi = \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \phi_j$ with $\phi_j \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. We may assume that ϕ is normalized such that, whenever ϕ_j is $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to $\phi_{j'}$, actually $\phi_{j'} = \phi_j = \phi_k$ for all k between j and j'. Then $Z_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)$ is isomorphic to a standard Levi subalgebra A of $\mathrm{M}_{n_2}(\mathbb{C})$, via the ring homomorphism

$$\mathrm{M}_{n_2}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{M}_{n_2}(\mathrm{M}_2(\mathbb{C})) \text{ induced by } z \mapsto z I_2.$$

Hence $Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\phi) \cong \{a \in A : \det(a)^2 = 1\}$, which clearly has two components. This shows that $|\mathcal{S}_\phi| = [C(\phi) : C(\phi)^\circ] = 2$ if ϕ is relevant for $\mathrm{GL}_{n/2}(\mathbb{H})$.

Thus we checked that for every $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$, $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi)$ parametrizes the equivalence classes of inner forms G of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for which ϕ is relevant. To conclude, we apply the LLC for G. $\square$

3. Inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$

As in the previous section, let D be a division algebra over dimension d^2 over its centre F, with reduced norm Nrd: $D \to F$. We write

$$\mathrm{GL}_m(D)^\sharp := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_m(D) : \mathrm{Nrd}(g) = 1\}$$

Notice that it equals the derived group of $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$. It is an inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_{md}(F)$, and every inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ is isomorphic to such a group. Thus the classification and parametrization of inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ is the same as for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, as described by (7) and (6)

As Langlands dual group of $G^\sharp = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)^\sharp$ we take

$${}^L G^\sharp = \check{G}^\sharp = \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

In particular every Langlands parameter for $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ gives rise to one for $G^\sharp$. In line with [Bor, §10], the L-packets for $G^\sharp$ are derived from those for G in the following way. It is known [Wei1] that every $\phi^\sharp \in \Phi(G^\sharp)$ lifts to a $\phi \in \Phi(G)$. The L-packet $\Pi_\phi(G)$ from (12) consists of a single G-representation, which we will denote by the same symbol. Its restriction to $G^\sharp$ depends only on $\phi^\sharp$, because a different lift ϕ' of $\phi^\sharp$ would produce $\Pi_{\phi'}(G)$ which only differs from $\Pi_\phi(G)$ by a character of the form

$$g \mapsto |\mathrm{Nrd}(g)|_F^z \quad \text{with } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We call the restriction of $\Pi_\phi(G)$ to $G^\sharp$ $\pi_\phi(G)^\sharp$. In general it is reducible, and with it one associates the L-packet

$$\Pi_{\phi^\sharp}(G^\sharp) := \{\pi^\sharp \in \mathrm{Irr}(G^\sharp) : \pi^\sharp \text{ is a constituent of } \pi_\phi(G)^\sharp\}.$$

The goal of this section is an analogue of Theorem 2.2. First we note that every irreducible $G^\sharp$-representation (say π) is a member of an L-packet $\Pi_{\phi^\sharp}(G^\sharp)$, because it appears in a G-representation (for example in $\mathrm{Ind}_{G^\sharp}^G \pi$). Second, by [HiSa, Lemma 12.1] two L-packets $\Pi_{\phi_1^\sharp}$ and $\Pi_{\phi_2^\sharp}$ are either disjoint or equal, and the latter happens if and only if $\phi_1^\sharp$ and $\phi_2^\sharp$ are $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate. Thus the main problem is the parametrization of the L-packets. Such a parametrization of $\Pi_{\phi^\sharp}(G^\sharp)$ was given in [HiSa] in terms of S-groups, at least when F has characteristic zero and ϕ is generic. After recalling this method, we will generalize it. Put

$$X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)) = \{\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(G/G^\sharp) : \Pi_\phi(G) \otimes \gamma \cong \Pi_\phi(G)\}.$$

Notice that every element of $X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$ is a character, which by Schur's lemma is trivial on $Z(G)$. Since $G/G^\sharp Z(G)$ is an abelian group and all its elements have order dividing n, the same goes for $X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$. Moreover $X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$ is finite, as we will see in (19). On general grounds [HiSa, Lemma 2.4] there exists a 2-cocycle $\kappa_{\phi^\sharp}$ such that

$$\mathbb{C}[X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)), \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}] \cong \mathrm{End}_{G^\sharp}(\Pi_\phi(G)). \tag{16}$$

By [HiSa, Corollary 2.10] the decomposition of $\pi_\phi(G)^\sharp$ as a representation of $G^\sharp \times X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$ is

$$\pi_\phi(G)^\sharp \cong \bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}[X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)), \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}])} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)), \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}]}(\rho, \pi_\phi(G)^\sharp) \otimes \rho. \tag{17}$$

The isotropy group of ϕ in $C(\phi^\sharp)$ is

$$C(\phi) = Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))C(\phi)^\circ = Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ.$$

We also note that

$$\begin{aligned} &C(\phi^\sharp)/C(\phi) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}, \quad \text{where} \\ &\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} = Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ/C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ \cong Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Assume for the moment that $D \not\cong \mathbb{H}$, so Nrd: $D \to F$ is surjective by [Wei2, Proposition X.2.6]. Let $\hat\gamma : \mathbf{W}_F \to \mathbb{C}^\times \cong Z(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ correspond to $\gamma \in \mathrm{Irr}(F^\times) \cong \mathrm{Irr}(G/G^\sharp)$ via local class field theory. By the LLC for G, ϕ is $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$-conjugate to $\phi\hat\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$. As $(\phi\hat\gamma)^\sharp = \phi^\sharp$, ϕ and $\phi\hat\gamma$ are in fact conjugate by an element of $C(\phi^\sharp) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. This gives an isomorphism

$$C(\phi^\sharp)/C(\phi) \cong X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)), \tag{19}$$

showing in particular that the left hand side is abelian. Since $C(\phi^\sharp)/C(\phi)$ is the component group of the centralizer of the subset $\mathrm{im}(\phi^\sharp)$ of the algebraic group $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the groups in (19) are finite. Thus we obtain a central extension of finite groups

$$1 \to \mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} \to \mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp} \to X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)) \to 1. \tag{20}$$

The algebra (16) can be described with the idempotent

$$e_{\chi_G} := |\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}|^{-1} \sum\nolimits_{z \in \mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}} \chi_G(z^{-1})z \;\in\; \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}].$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ with $D \not\cong \mathbb{H}$. There exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{C}[X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)), \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}, \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}] \cong e_{\chi_G}\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}]$$

such that for any $s \in \mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}$ the subspaces $\mathbb{C}s\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}$ on both sides correspond. Moreover any two such isomorphisms differ only by a character of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}$.

Proof. (of the case $\mathrm{char}(F) = 0$.*)*
First we suppose that $\mathrm{char}(F) = 0$ and that the representation $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is tempered. In the archimedean case the cocycle $\kappa_{\phi^\sharp}$ is trivial by [HiSa, Lemma 3.1 and page 69]. In the non-archimedean case the theorem is a reformulation of [HiSa, Lemma 12.5]. We remark that this is a deep result, its proof makes use of endoscopic transfer and global arguments.

Consider a possibly unbounded Langlands parameter $\phi^\sharp \in \Phi(G^\sharp)$, with a lift $\phi \in \Phi(G)$. Let Y be a connected set of unramified twists ϕ_χ of ϕ, such that $C(\phi_\chi) = C(\phi)$ and $C(\phi_\chi^\sharp) = C(\phi^\sharp)$ for all $\phi_\chi \in Y'$. It is easily seen that we can always arrange that Y contains bounded Langlands parameters. The reason is that for any element (here the image of a Frobenius element of $\mathbf{W}_F$ under ϕ) of a torus in a complex reductive group, there is an element of the maximal compact subtorus which has the same centralizer.

The construction of the intertwining operators

$$I_\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi_\phi(G), \Pi_\phi(G) \otimes \gamma) \text{ for } \gamma \in X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)) \tag{21}$$

is similar to that for R-groups. It determines the cocycle by

$$I_\gamma I_{\gamma'} = \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}(\gamma, \gamma')I_{\gamma\gamma'}.$$

The I_γ can be chosen independently of $\chi \in X_{nr}(M)$, so the $\kappa_{\phi_\chi^\sharp}$ do not depend on χ. For $\phi_\chi^\sharp$ tempered we already have the required algebra isomorphisms, and now they extend by constancy to all $\phi^\sharp_\chi \in Y'$. This concludes the proof in the case $\mathrm{char}(F) = 0$. $\square$

The proof of the case $\mathrm{char}(F) > 0$ requires more techniques, we complete it in Section 6.

For a character χ of $\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}$ or of $Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ we write

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}, \chi) := \mathrm{Irr}(e_\chi \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}]) = \{(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}) : \mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} \text{ acts on } V \text{ as } \chi\}. \tag{22}$$

We will use this with the characters $\chi_G = \chi_{G^\sharp}$ from Lemma 2.1.

We still assume that $D \not\cong \mathbb{H}$. As shown in [HiSa, Corollary 2.10], the isomorphism (16) and Theorem 3.1 imply that

$$\pi(\phi^\sharp, \rho) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}}(\rho, \Pi_\phi(G)) \tag{23}$$

defines an irreducible $G^\sharp$-representation for every $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}, \chi_{G^\sharp})$. In general $\pi(\phi^\sharp, \rho)$ is not canonical, it depends on the choice of an algebra isomorphism as in Theorem 3.1. Hence the map $\rho \mapsto \pi(\phi^\sharp, \rho)$ is canonical up to an action of

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp} / \mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}) \cong \mathrm{Irr}(X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)))$$

on $\mathrm{Irr}(e_{\chi_G} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}])$. Via (17) and Theorem 3.1 this corresponds to an action of $\mathrm{Irr}(X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)))$ on $\Pi_{\phi^\sharp}(G)$, which can be described explicitly. Since $X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Irr}(G/G^\sharp Z(G))$, $\mathrm{Irr}(X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)))$ is a quotient of $G/G^\sharp Z(G)$, say G/H for some $H \supset G^\sharp Z(G)$. This means that every $c \in \mathrm{Irr}(X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)))$ determines a coset $g_c H$ in G. Now the formula

$$c \cdot \pi = g_c \cdot \pi, \text{ where } (g_c \cdot \pi)(g) = \pi(g_c^{-1} g g_c) \tag{24}$$

defines the action of $\mathrm{Irr}(X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)))$ on $\Pi_{\phi^\sharp}(G^\sharp)$. In other words, the representation $\pi(\phi^\sharp, \rho) \in \Pi_{\phi^\sharp}(G^\sharp)$ is canonical up to the action of G on $G^\sharp$-representations.

For $D = \mathbb{H}$ some modifications must be made. In that case $G = G^\sharp Z(G)$, so $\mathrm{Res}^G_{G^\sharp}$ preserves irreducibility of representations and $X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)) = 1$. Moreover $G/G^\sharp \cong \mathbb{R}^\times_{>0} \not\cong \mathbb{R}^\times$, which causes (19) and (20) to be invalid for $D = \mathbb{H}$. However, (22) still makes sense, so we define

$$\pi(\phi^\sharp, \rho) := \Pi_\phi(\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{H})) \quad \text{for all} \quad \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}, \chi_{\mathbb{H}^\times}). \tag{25}$$

As mentioned before, Hiraga and Saito [HiSa] have established the local Langlands correspondence for irreducible generic representations of inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$, where F is a local field of characteristic zero. We will generalize this on the one hand to local fields F of arbitrary characteristic and on the other hand to all irreducible admissible representations. We will do so for all inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ simultaneously, to obtain an analogue of Theorem 2.2.

Like for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ we define

$$\Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\ \mathrm{SL}_n(F)) = \{(\phi^\sharp, \rho) : \phi^\sharp \in \Phi(\mathrm{SL}_n(F)), \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp})\}.$$

Notice that the restriction of ρ to $\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} \cong Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ$ determines an inner form G_ρ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ (up to isomorphism) via (7) and Lemma 2.1. Its derived group $G^\sharp_\rho$ is the inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ associated to ρ.

We note that the actions of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ on the various $\Phi^e(G^\sharp)$ combine to an action on $\Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\ \mathrm{SL}_n(F))$. With the collection of equivalence classes $\Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\ \mathrm{SL}_n(F))$ we can formulate the local Langlands correspondence for all such inner forms simultaneously.

First we consider the non-archimedean case. As for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, we must fix one group in every equivalence class of inner forms. We choose the groups $\mathrm{GL}_m([L/F,\chi,\varpi_F])^\sharp$ with $[L/F,\chi,\varpi_F]$ as in (8), and call these the standard inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let F be a non-archimedean local field. There exists a bijection*

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\,\mathrm{SL}_n(F)) & \to & \{(G^\sharp,\pi) : G^\sharp \textit{ standard inner form of } \mathrm{SL}_n(F), \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G^\sharp)\} \\ (\phi^\sharp,\rho) & \mapsto & (G^\sharp_\rho, \pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)) \end{array}$$

with the following properties:

(*a*) *Suppose that ρ sends $\exp(2\pi i/n) \in Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ to a primitive d-th root of unity z. Then $G^\sharp_\rho = \mathrm{GL}_m([L/F,\chi,\varpi_F])^\sharp$, where $md = n$ and $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}(L/F) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ sends the Frobenius automorphism to z.*

(*b*) *Suppose that $\phi^\sharp$ is relevant for $G^\sharp$ and lifts to $\phi \in \Phi(G)$. Then the restriction of $\Pi_\phi(G)$ to $G^\sharp$ is $\bigoplus_{\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp},\chi_{G^\sharp})} \pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho) \otimes \rho$.*

(*c*) *$\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ is essentially square-integrable if and only if $\phi^\sharp(\mathbf{W}_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is not contained in any proper parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

(*d*) *$\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ is tempered if and only if $\phi^\sharp$ is bounded.*

Proof. Let $\phi^\sharp \in \Phi(\mathrm{SL}_n(F))$ and lift it to $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. Then $C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ = C(\phi)^\circ$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} = \mathcal{Z}_\phi$, so by Lemma 2.1 the set of standard inner forms of $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ for which $\phi^\sharp$ is relevant is in natural bijection with

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}) = \mathrm{Irr}(Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))/Z(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \cap C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ).$$

Hence the collection of $(\phi^\sharp,\rho) \in \Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\,\mathrm{SL}_n(F))$ with $\phi^\sharp$ fixed is

$$\{(\phi^\sharp,\rho) : \rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp},\chi_{G^\sharp}) \text{ with } \phi^\sharp \text{ relevant for } G^\sharp\}. \tag{26}$$

Thus (a) automatically holds. Part (b) is a consequence of (16) and Theorem 3.1, see [HiSa, Corollary 2.10]. Together with the remarks at the beginning of the section this shows that the map from the theorem is bijective.

For part (d), it is clear that the restriction of a tempered G-representation to $G^\sharp$ is still tempered. Hence $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ is tempered if $\phi^\sharp$ has a bounded lift ϕ, that is, if $\phi^\sharp$ is itself bounded. Conversely, if $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ is tempered, then all its matrix coefficients are tempered on $G^\sharp$. Lift $\phi^\sharp$ to $\phi \in \Phi(G)$ such that the central character of $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is unitary. Since $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ generates $\Pi_\phi(G)$ as a G-representation, all matrix coefficients of $\pi_\phi(G)$ are tempered on $G^\sharp Z(G)$. As $G^\sharp Z(G)$ is of finite index in G, this implies that $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is tempered. One of the properties of the LLC for G says that temperedness of $\Pi_\phi(G)$ is equivalent to boundedness of ϕ. Therefore $\phi^\sharp$ is also bounded.

An analogous argument applies to (essentially) square-integrable representations. These arguments prove parts (c) and (d). $\square$

Let us discuss an archimedean analogue of Theorem 3.2, that is, for the groups $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{H})$. In view (25) we cannot expect a bijection, and part (b) has to be adjusted.

Theorem 3.3. *Let F be $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. There exists a canonical surjection*

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Phi^e(\mathrm{inn}\,\mathrm{SL}_n(F)) & \to & \{(G^\sharp,\pi) : G^\sharp \textit{ standard inner form of } \mathrm{SL}_n(F), \pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G^\sharp)\} \\ (\phi^\sharp,\rho) & \mapsto & (G^\sharp_\rho, \pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)) \end{array}$$

with the following properties:

(*a*) *The preimage of* $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SL}_n(F))$ *consists of the* $(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ *with* $\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} \subset \ker\rho$*, and the map is injective on this domain. The preimage of* $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SL}_{n/2}(\mathbb{H}))$ *consists of the* $(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ *such that* ρ *is not trivial on* $\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}$*, and the map is two-to-one on this domain.*

(*b*) *Suppose that* $\phi^\sharp$ *is relevant for* $G^\sharp = \mathrm{SL}_m(D)$ *and lifts to* $\phi \in \Phi(G)$*. Then the restriction of* $\Pi_\phi(G)$ *to* $G^\sharp$ *is irreducible if* $D = \mathbb{C}$ *or* $D = \mathbb{H}$*, and is isomorphic to* $\bigoplus_{\rho\in\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp})} \pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)\otimes\rho$ *in case* $D = \mathbb{R}$*.*

(*c*) $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ *is essentially square-integrable if and only if* $\phi^\sharp(\mathbf{W}_F)$ *is not contained in any proper parabolic subgroup of* $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$*).*

(*d*) $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ *is tempered if and only if* $\phi^\sharp$ *is bounded.*

Proof. Theorem 2.2 and the start of the proof of Theorem 3.2 show that (26) is also valid in the archimedean case. To see that the map thus obtained is canonical, we will of course use that the LLC for $\mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ is so. For $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ the intertwining operators admit a canonical normalization in terms of Whittaker functionals [HiSa, pages 17 and 69], so the definition (23) of $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ can be made canonical. For $\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{H})$ the definition (25) clearly leaves no room for arbitrary choices.

Part (a) and part (b) for $D = \mathbb{R}$ follow as in the non-archimedean case, except that for $D = \mathbb{H}$ the preimage of $\pi(\phi^\sharp,\rho)$ is in bijection with $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_\phi, e_{\mathbb{H}^\times})$. To prove part (b) for $D = \mathbb{C}$ and $D = \mathbb{H}$, it suffices to remark that $\mathrm{Res}^G_{G^\sharp}$ preserves irreducibility, as $G = G^\sharp Z(G)$. The proof of part (c) and (d) carries over from Theorem 3.2.

It remains to check that the map is two-to-one on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{H}))$. For this we have to compute

$$\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} = C(\phi^\sharp)/C(\phi^\sharp)^\circ = C(\phi^\sharp)/C(\phi). \tag{27}$$

Consider $\phi^\sharp \in \Phi(\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{H}))$ with two lifts $\phi,\phi' \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{H}))$ that are conjugate under $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$. The restriction of $\phi^{-1}\phi'$ to $\mathbb{C}^\times \subset \mathbf{W}_\mathbb{R}$ is a group homomorphism $c : \mathbb{C}^\times \to Z(\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C}))$. Clearly ϕ and ϕ' can only be conjugate if $c = 1$, so ϕ' can only differ from ϕ on $\tau \in \mathbf{W}_\mathbb{R}$. Since

$$\phi'(\tau)^2 = \phi'(-1) = \phi(-1) = \phi(\tau)^2,$$

either $\phi'(\tau) = -\phi(\tau)$ or $\phi' = \phi$. Recall the standard form of ϕ exhibited in the proof of Theorem 2.2, with image in the Levi subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})^m$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$. It shows that the Langlands parameter ϕ' determined by $\phi'(\tau) = -\phi(\tau)$ is always conjugate to ϕ, for example by the element $\mathrm{diag}(1,-1,1,\ldots,-1) \in \mathrm{GL}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore (27) has precisely two elements. Now $e_{\mathbb{H}^\times}\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}]$ is a two-dimensional semisimple $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, so it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}$. We conclude that $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}, e_{\mathbb{H}^\times})$ has two elements, for every $\phi^\sharp \in \Phi(\mathrm{SL}_m(\mathbb{H}))$. $\square$

4. Characterization of the LLC for some representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

Let $K_0 = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_F)$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and define, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

$$K_r = \ker\left(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F^r)\right) = 1 + \mathrm{M}_n(\mathfrak{p}_F^r).$$

We denote the set of irreducible smooth $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$-representations that are generated by their K_r-invariant vectors by $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r)$. To indicate the ambient group $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ we will sometimes denote K_r by $K_{r,n}$.

The aim of this section is an analog of Henniart's characterization [Hen1] of the local Langlands correspondence in terms of ϵ-factors of pairs, for the restriction of $\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}$ to supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with nonzero K_r-fixed vectors.

We recall some basic properties of generic representations, from [JPS2, Section 2]. Let $\psi\colon F \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character which is trivial on $\mathfrak{o}_F$ but not on $\varpi_F^{-1}\mathfrak{o}_F$. We note that ψ is unitary because $F/\mathfrak{o}_F$ is a union of finite subgroups. Let $U = U_n$ be the standard unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, consisting of upper triangular matrices. We need a character θ of U which does not vanish on any of the root subgroups associated to simple roots. Any choice is equally good, and it is common to take

$$\theta((u_{i,j})_{i,j=1}^n) = \psi\big(\sum\nolimits_{i=1}^{n-1} u_{i,i+1}\big).$$

Let $(\pi, V) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. One calls π generic if there exists a nonzero linear form λ on V such that

$$\lambda(\pi(u)v) = \theta(u)\lambda(v) \text{ for all } u \in U, v \in V.$$

Such a linear form is called a Whittaker functional, and the space of those has dimension 1 (if they exist). Let $W(\pi, \theta)$ be the space of all functions $W : G \to \mathbb{C}$ of the form

$$W_v(g) = \lambda(\pi(g)v) \qquad g \in G, v \in V.$$

Then $W(\pi, \theta)$ is stable under right translations and the representation thus obtained is isomorphic to π via $v \leftrightarrow W_v$. Most irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, and in particular all the supercupidal ones, are generic [GeKa].

Let $\mathrm{c{-}Ind}\,\overline{\theta} = \mathrm{c{-}Ind}_U^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\overline{\theta})$ denote the compactly induced representation from the character $\overline{\theta}$. The following lemma and its proof are inspired by [JPS1, Lemme 3.5] which studies the case $r = 0$, that is, the case of unramified representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ (see also [BuHe, Corollary 6.3]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in (\mathrm{c{-}Ind}\,\overline{\theta})^{K_r}$. Suppose that*

$$\int_{U\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f(g)W(g)dg = 0$$

for every $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$ and every $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r)$ such that π is generic. Then $f = 0$.

Proof. Recall that the space of the smooth induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}\,\overline{\theta}$ consists of all right G-smooth functions $\varphi\colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi(ug) = \overline{\theta}(u)\varphi(g)$, for $u \in U$ and $g \in G$, and that the space $\mathrm{c{-}Ind}\,\overline{\theta}$ consists of all such functions which are compactly supported modulo U. Since the character $\overline{\theta}$ is unitary, $\mathrm{c} - \mathrm{Ind}\,\overline{\theta}$ is a pre-unitary representation. Let $(\Pi, \mathcal{V})$ be its Hilbert space completion. It is separable because G is so. According to Théorème 8.6.6 and 18.7.6 of [Dix], Π can be desintegrated over the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$:

$$\Pi \cong \int_{\widehat{G}} m(x)\pi_x \, d\mu(x). \tag{28}$$

Here the multiplicity $m(x)$ is either a natural number or countably infinite, and the isomorphism encompasses the topological vector spaces, the inner products and the G-action. By construction there exists, for all $x \in \widehat{G}$, a densely defined linear map A_x from $\mathcal{V}$ to the space V_x of $m(x)\pi_x$ such that

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_{\widehat{G}} \langle A_x\varphi_1, A_x\varphi_2 \rangle d\mu(x) \quad \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{V}. \tag{29}$$

Moreover

$$A_x\Pi(g)\varphi = \pi_x(g)A_x\varphi \quad g\in G, \varphi\in\mathcal{V} \tag{30}$$

whenever both sides are well-defined. In particular (30) holds when $\varphi \in \mathrm{c-Ind}\,\overline{\theta}$. Hence A_x maps $\mathrm{c-Ind}\,\overline{\theta}$ to the space V_x^∞ of smooth vectors in V_x and

$$A_x \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c-Ind}\,\overline{\theta}, m(x)\pi_x^\infty).$$

Since f is right K_r-invariant, (30) applied to $\varphi = f$ shows that $A_x f = 0$ unless V_x contains non-zero K_r-invariant vectors. We assume that this is the case, in other words, that $\pi_x^\infty \in \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r)$. Recall that the pairing

$$(\ ,\ )_G \colon \mathrm{c-Ind}\,\overline{\theta}\times \mathrm{Ind}\,\theta \to \mathbb{C} \qquad (\varphi, W)\mapsto \int_{U\backslash G}\varphi(g)W(g)dg$$

induces an isomorphism $(\mathrm{c-Ind}\,\overline{\theta})^\vee \simeq \mathrm{Ind}_U^G\theta$, which further induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\mathrm{c-Ind}\,\overline{\theta}, \pi) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(\check{\pi}, \mathrm{Ind}\,\theta), \tag{31}$$

for any smooth representation π of G. Let $\lambda_x \in \mathrm{Hom}_G((m(x)\pi_x^\infty)^\vee, \mathrm{Ind}\,\theta)$ denote the image of A_x under the isomorphism (31). Any $\check{v}_x \in m(x)\check{\pi}_x^\infty \subset (m(x)\pi_x^\infty)^\vee$ can be written as $\sum_{m=1}^{m(x)} v_m$ with only finitely many nonzero terms $v_m \in \check{\pi}_x^\infty$. Then the function $W_x := \lambda_x(\check{v}_x) = \sum_{m=1}^{m(x)}\lambda_x(v_m)$ belongs to $W(\check{\pi}_x^\infty, \theta)$ and

$$(A_x f, \check{v}_x)_G = (f, \lambda_x(\check{v}_x))_G.$$

Since $\check{\pi}_x^\infty \in \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r)$, the assumption of the lemma guarantees that

$$\int_{U\backslash G} f(g)W_x(g)dg = 0.$$

This gives $(A_x f, \check{v_x})_G = 0$ for all $\check{v}_x \in m(x)\check{\pi}_x^\infty$, so $A_x f = 0$.

The above holds for almost all $x \in \widehat{G}$. Using (29), we get $f = 0$. □

According to [Hen1, Théorème 1.1] every generic $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is characterized by the family of γ-factors $\gamma(s, \pi\times\pi', \psi)$ for generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F))$. The following result will show that for $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n})$, it is enough to consider $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F), K_{r,n-1})$, that is, every generic $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n})$ is characterized by the family of γ-factors $\gamma(s, \pi\times\pi', \psi)$ for generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F), K_{r,n-1})$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two generic representations in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n})$. Suppose that for every generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F), K_{r,n-1})$, the following equality holds:*

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1\times\pi', \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi_2\times\pi', \psi).$$

Then π_1 and π_2 are equivalent.

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as in [Hen1, Theorème 1.1]. Let $\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\check{\mathcal{S}}$) denote the subspace of $W(\pi_1,\theta)\oplus W(\pi_2,\theta)$ (resp. $W(\check{\pi}_1,\overline{\theta})\oplus W(\check{\pi}_2,\overline{\theta})$) formed by the pairs (W_1, W_2) such that

$$W_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g' & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right) = W_2\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g' & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right), \qquad \text{for all } g'\in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F).$$

The subspaces $\mathcal{S}$ and $\check{\mathcal{S}}$ are not $\{0\}$ (see [Hen1, Lemme 2.4.1]). Let $(W_1, W_2)\in\mathcal{S}$. From (53), we have

$$\Psi(s, W_1, W') = \Psi(s, W_2, W')$$

for every generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F))$ and every $W' \in W(\pi', \overline{\theta})$. Then by combining the functional (54) with the assumption, we obtain that

$$\Psi(s, \check{W}_1, \check{W}') = \Psi(s, \check{W}_2, \check{W}') \tag{32}$$

for every generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F), K_{r,n-1})$ and every $W' \in W(\pi', \overline{\theta})$.

For every integer m, let f_m denote the function on $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)$ defined by

$$f_m(g') = \begin{cases} \check{W}_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} g' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) - \check{W}_2 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} g' & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) & \text{if } |\det(g')| = q^m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Viewed as an equality between formal Laurent series in q^{-s}, (32) means that

$$\int_{U_{n-1}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)} f_m(g') \overline{\check{W}'}(g') \mathrm{d}g' = 0,$$

for every integer m and every choice of (π', W') as above. Moreover, the function f_m is smooth and compactly supported modulo U_{n-1}. Since $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, K_{r,n-1})$ we have $\check{\pi}' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}, K_{r,n-1})$, and $\check{\pi}'$ is generic since π' is. By applying Lemma 4.1 to the function f_m, we conclude that $f_m = 0$, that is $(\check{W}_1, \check{W}_2) \in \check{S}$.

Conversely, a similar argument shows that if $(\check{W}_1, \check{W}_2) \in \check{S}$, then $(W_1, W_2) \in \mathcal{S}$, because the assumption on (π_1, π_2) is equivalent to the corresponding assumption on $(\check{\pi}_1, \check{\pi}_2)$, thanks to (55). Now exactly the same argument as [Hen1, 3.2] shows that $W(\pi_1, \theta) \cong W(\pi_2, \theta)$ and hence $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two supercuspidal representations in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n})$. Suppose that for every integer $n' < n$ and every supercuspidal representation $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(F), K_{r,n'})$ the equality*

$$\epsilon(s, \pi_1 \times \pi', \psi) = \epsilon(s, \pi_2 \times \pi', \psi)$$

holds. Then π_1 and π_2 are equivalent.

Proof. Again the proof follows the lines of [Hen1]. The result will follow immediately from the combination of Theorem 4.2 with the following equalities:

$$L(s, \pi_1 \times \tau') = L(s, \pi_2 \times \tau') = L(s, \check{\pi}_1 \times \check{\tau}') = L(s, \check{\pi}_1 \times \check{\tau}') = 1, \tag{33}$$

$$\gamma(s, \pi_1 \times \tau', \psi) = \gamma(s, \pi_2 \times \tau', \psi), \tag{34}$$

for every generic $\tau' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(F), K_{r,n'})$. The proofs of (33) and (34) are based on Zelevinsky's theory of segments. The proof of (33) is identical to that of [Hen1, (3.3.2)]. In order to check (34), as in the proof of [Hen1, (3.3.4)], we first write $\gamma(s, \pi_j \times \tau', \psi)$, for $j = 1, 2$, as a product of $\gamma(s, \pi_j \times \langle\Delta_i\rangle^t, \psi)$. Next we write $\gamma(s, \pi_j \times \langle\Delta_i\rangle^t, \psi)$ itself as a product

$$\prod\nolimits_h \gamma(s, \pi_j \times \pi_i' |\ |^h, \psi),$$

with π_i' supercuspidal. Since $\tau' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(F), K_{r,n'})$, it follows that $\langle\Delta_i\rangle^t$ and π_i' admit fixed vectors by $K_{r,a}$ for the appropriate a. Hence the result follows from the assumption made in the statement of the Corollary. □

As in [Hen1, Théorème 4.1], we will apply Corollary 4.3 to the characterization of LLC for supercuspidal representations.

Let F_s be a separable closure of F and let $\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l$ be the l-th ramification group of $\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)$, with respect to the upper numbering. We define

$$\Phi_l(G) := \{\phi \in \Phi(G) : \mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l \subset \ker(\phi)\}.$$

Notice that

$$\Phi_{l'}(G) \subset \Phi_l(G), \qquad \text{if } l' \leq l.$$

We will say that $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is *elliptic* if its image is not contained in any proper Levi subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\phi \in \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ such that ϕ is elliptic and $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \ker(\phi)$. Then we have*

$$\phi \notin \Phi_{d(\phi)}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) \text{ and } \phi \in \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) \text{ for any } l > d(\phi),$$

where

$$d(\phi) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{I}_F \subset \ker(\phi), \\ \dfrac{\mathrm{swan}(\phi)}{n} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{35}$$

and $\mathrm{swan}(\phi)$ *denotes the Swan conductor of* ϕ.

Proof. Let $c(\phi)$ denote the greatest integer such that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)_{c(\phi)+1} \subset \ker(\phi),$$

if $\mathbf{I}_F = \mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)_0$ is not contained in $\ker(\phi)$, and -1 otherwise. Recall the Herbrand function $\varphi_{F_s/F}$ [Ser, Chap. IV, § 3] that allows us to pass from the lower number to the upper ones:

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)_l = \mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^{\varphi_{F_s/F}(l)}.$$

Let $a(\phi)$ denote the Artin conductor of ϕ. Because ϕ is assumed to be elliptic, the restriction of ϕ to $\mathbf{W}_F$ is irreducible. The equality

$$a(\phi) = n\left(\varphi_{F_s/F}(c(\phi)) + 1\right)$$

was shown for $n = 1$ in [Ser, Chap. VI, § 2, Proposition 5]. The proof for arbitrary n is similar, see [GrRe, § 2]. By the very definition of the Swan conductor

$$\varphi_{F_s/F}(c(\phi)) = \frac{a(\phi)}{n} - 1 = \frac{\mathrm{swan}(\phi)}{n}.$$

Then it follows from the definition of $c(\phi)$ that $d(\phi)$ is the greatest integer such that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^{d(\phi)} \not\subset \ker(\phi).$$

Hence we have

$$\phi \notin \Phi_{d(\phi)}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \in \Phi_{d(\phi)+1}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)). \qquad \square$$

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a hereditary $\mathfrak{o}_F$-order $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ denote the Jacobson radical of $\mathfrak{A}$, and let $e(\mathfrak{A})$ denote the $\mathfrak{o}_F$-period of $\mathfrak{A}$, that is, the integer e defined by $\mathfrak{p}_F\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{P}^e$. Define a sequence of compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ by

$$U^0(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A}^\times, \quad \text{and} \quad U^m(\mathfrak{A}) = 1 + \mathfrak{P}^m, \;\; m \geq 1.$$

Let m, m' be integers satisfying $m > m' \geq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$U^{m'+1}(\mathfrak{A})/U^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}) \to \mathfrak{P}^{m'+1}/\mathfrak{P}^{m+1},$$

given by $x \mapsto x - 1$. This leads to an isomorphism from $\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^{-m}/\mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^{-m'}$ to the Pontrjagin dual of $U^{m'+1}(\mathfrak{A})/U^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A})$, explicitly given by

$$\beta + \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^{-m'} \mapsto \psi_\beta \qquad \beta \in \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^{-m},$$

with $\psi_\beta(1+x) = (\psi \circ \mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{M}_n(F)})(\beta x)$, for $x \in \mathfrak{P}^{-m'}$.

We recall from [BuKu1, (1.5)] that a *stratum* is a quadruple $[\mathfrak{A}, m, m', \beta]$ consisting of a hereditary $\mathfrak{o}_F$-order $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$, integers $m > m' \geq 0$, and an element $\beta \in \mathrm{M}_n(F)$ with $\mathfrak{A}$-valuation $\nu_{\mathfrak{A}}(\beta) \geq -m$. A stratum of the form $[\mathfrak{A}, m, m-1, \beta]$ is called *fundamental* [BuKu1, (2.3)] if the coset $\beta + \mathfrak{p}^{-1}\mathfrak{P}^{1-m}$ does not contain a nilpotent element of $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$. We remark that the formulation in [Bus] is slightly different because the notion of a fundamental stratum there allows m to be 0.

Fix an irreducible supercuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. According to [Bus, Theorem 2] there exists a hereditary order $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ such that either

(a) π contains the trivial character of $U^1(\mathfrak{A})$, or
(b) there is a fundamental stratum $[\mathfrak{A}, m, m-1, \beta]$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ such that π contains the character ψ_β of $U^m(\mathfrak{A})$.

Moreover, in case (b), if a stratum $[\mathfrak{A}_1, m_1, m_1 - 1, \beta_1]$ is such that β_1 occurs in the restriction of π to $U^{m_1}(\mathfrak{A}_1)$, then $m_1/e(\mathfrak{A}_1) \geq m/e(\mathfrak{A})$, and we have equality here if and only $[\mathfrak{A}_1, m_1, m_1 - 1, \beta_1]$ is fundamental [Bus, Theorem 2′].

The above provides a useful invariant of the representation, called the *depth* (or *normalized level*) of π. It is defined as

$$d(\pi) := \min \{m/e(\mathfrak{A})\}, \tag{36}$$

where $(m, \mathfrak{A})$ ranges over all pairs consisting of an integer $m \geq 0$ and a hereditary $\mathfrak{o}_F$-order in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ such that π contains the trivial character of $U^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

The following result was claimed in [Yu, Theorem 2.3.6.4]. Although Yu did not provide a proof, he indicated that an argument along similar lines as ours is possible.

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $\phi := \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}(\pi)$. *Then*

$$d(\phi) = d(\pi).$$

Proof. We have

$$\epsilon(s, \phi, \psi) = \epsilon(0, \phi, \psi)\, q^{-a(\phi)s} \quad \text{with } \epsilon(0, \phi, \psi) \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \tag{37}$$

Since LLC preserves the ϵ-factors, in particular

$$\epsilon(s, \phi, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \pi \times 1_F, \psi),$$

where 1_F denotes the trivial representation of $\mathrm{GL}_1(F)$. We also have

$$\epsilon(s, \pi \times 1_F, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \pi, \psi),$$

where $\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi)$ is the Godement-Jacquet local constant [GoJa]. It takes the form

$$\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi) = \epsilon(0, \pi, \psi)\, q^{-f(\pi)s}, \quad \text{where } \epsilon(0, \pi, \psi) \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \tag{38}$$

Recall that $f(\pi)$ is an integer, called the conductor of π. It follows from (37) and (38) that

$$a(\phi) = f(\pi). \tag{39}$$

On the other hand, let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a principal $\mathfrak{o}_F$-order in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ such that $e(\mathfrak{A}) = n/\gcd(n, f(\pi))$, and let $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$ denote the normalizer in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ of $\mathfrak{A}$. By [Bus, Theorem 3] the restriction of π to $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$ contains a *nondegenerate* (in the sense of [Bus, (1.21)]) representation ϱ of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$, and we have [Bus, (3.7)]

$$d(\varrho) = e(\mathfrak{A}) \left(\frac{f(\pi)}{n} - 1 \right), \tag{40}$$

where $d(\varrho) \geq -1$ is the least integer such that

$$U^{d(\varrho)+1}(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \ker(\varrho).$$

Moreover, if the irreducible representation ϱ' of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$ occurs in the restriction of π to $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$, then $d(\varrho') = d(\varrho)$ if and only if ϱ' is nondegenerate [Bus, (5.1) (iii)]. Hence we obtain from (39) and (40) that

$$\frac{d(\varrho')}{e(\mathfrak{A})} = \frac{f(\pi)}{n} - 1 = \frac{a(\phi)}{n} - 1 = d(\phi) \tag{41}$$

for every nondegenerate irreducible representation ρ' of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$ which occurs in the restriction of π to $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$.

It follows from the definition (36) of $d(\pi)$, that

$$d(\pi) \leq \frac{d(\varrho')}{e(\mathfrak{A})}, \tag{42}$$

for every nondegenerate irreducible representation ρ' of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$ which occurs in the restriction of π to $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A})$.

We will check that (42) is actually an equality. The case where $d(\pi) = 0$ is easy, so we only consider $d(\pi) > 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}'$ be any hereditary $\mathfrak{o}_F$-order $\mathfrak{A}'$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$, and define $m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi)$ to be the least non-negative integer m such that the restriction of π to $U^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}')$ contains the trivial character. Then choose $\mathfrak{A}'$ so that $m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi)/e(\mathfrak{A}')$ is minimal, and let $[\mathfrak{A}', m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi), m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi) - 1, \beta]$ be a stratum occuring in π. By [Bus, Theorem 2$'$] this is a fundamental stratum. By [Bus, (3.4)] we may assume that the integers $e(\mathfrak{A}')$ and $m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi)$ are relatively prime. Hence we may apply [Bus, (3.13)]. We find that $\mathfrak{A}'$ is principal and that every irreducible representation ϱ of $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A}')$ which occurs in the restriction of π to $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{A}')$, and such that the restriction of ϱ to $U^{m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi)}(\mathfrak{A}')$ contains ψ_β, is nondegenerate. In particular we have $d(\varrho') = m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi)$.

It remains to check that the principal order $\mathfrak{A}'$ satisfies

$$e(\mathfrak{A}') = n / \gcd(n, f(\pi)). \tag{43}$$

Let $b = \gcd(n, f(\pi))$. Set $n = n'b$ and $f(\pi) = f'(\pi)b$. By using [Bus, (3.9)], we obtain that n' divides $e(\mathfrak{A}')$. Let $\mathfrak{P}'$ denote the Jacobson radical of $\mathfrak{A}'$. Then [BuFr, (3.3.8)] and [Bus, (3.8)] assert that

$$q^{f(\pi)} = [\mathfrak{A}' : \mathfrak{p}_F (\mathfrak{P}')^{d(\varrho')}]^{1/n}.$$

That is, since $\mathfrak{p}_F \mathfrak{A}' = (\mathfrak{P}')^{e(\mathfrak{A}')}$,

$$q^{f(\pi)} = [\mathfrak{A}' : (\mathfrak{P}')^{d(\varrho') + e(\mathfrak{A}')}]^{1/n} = q^{n(d(\varrho') + e(\mathfrak{A}'))/e(\mathfrak{A}')} = q^{n(1 + d(\varrho')/e(\mathfrak{A}'))}.$$

Hence we get

$$f(\pi) = n(1 + d(\varrho')/e(\mathfrak{A}')),$$

that is,

$$d(\varrho') = \frac{e(\mathfrak{A}') f(\pi)}{n} - e(\mathfrak{A}') = \frac{e(\mathfrak{A}') f'(\pi)}{n'} - e(\mathfrak{A}').$$

Hence we have

$$n' d(\varrho') = e(\mathfrak{A}') f'(\pi) - e(\mathfrak{A}') n'.$$

Since $e(\mathfrak{A}')$ and $d(\rho') = m_{\mathfrak{A}'}(\pi)$ are relatively prime, we deduce that $e(\mathfrak{A}')$ divides n'. Thus we have $e(\mathfrak{A}') = n'$, which means that (43) holds.

We conclude that (42) is indeed an equality, which together with (41) shows that $d(\varrho') = d(\pi)$. □

Theorem 4.6. *Let π be a supercuspidal representation in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n})$, with $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and let $\phi \in \Phi_r(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ be an elliptic parameter such that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \ker(\phi)$. Suppose that*

$$\epsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) = \epsilon(s, \phi \otimes \mathrm{rec}_{F,n'}(\pi'), \psi)$$

holds in one of the following cases:

(*a*) *for $n' = n - 1$ and every generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(F), K_{r,n'})$;*

(*b*) *for every n' such that $1 \le n' < n$, and for every supercuspidal representation π' in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(F), K_{r,n'})$.*

Then $\phi = \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}(\pi)$.

Proof. Since $\phi \in \Phi_r(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, Lemma 4.4 implies that $d(\phi) \le r - 1$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.5 that

$$d\left(\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi)\right) = d(\phi) \le r - 1.$$

The definition of depth (36) shows that there is a hereditary $\mathfrak{o}_F$-order $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathrm{M}_n(F)$ such that $\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi)$ contains the trivial character of $U^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A})$, where

$$m = e(\mathfrak{A}) \cdot d\left(\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi)\right) \le e(\mathfrak{A}) \cdot (r-1).$$

We have

$$U^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}) \supset U^{e(\mathfrak{A})(r-1)+1} \supset K_{r,n}. \tag{44}$$

Then (44) implies that

$$\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n}).$$

We have

$$\epsilon(s, \pi \times \pi, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \phi \otimes \mathrm{rec}_{F,n'}(\pi'), \psi) = \epsilon(s, \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi) \times \pi', \psi).$$

By applying Theorem 4.2 with $\pi_1 = \pi$ and $\pi_2 = \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi)$, we find that these two representations are equivalent in case (a). In case (b) we can obtain the same conclusion by using Corollary 4.3 instead. □

5. The method of close fields

Kazhdan's method of close fields [Kaz, Del] has proven useful to generalize results that are known for groups over p-adic fields to groups over local fields of positive characteristic. It has been worked out for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ by Badulescu [Bad1].

Let F and $\widetilde{F}$ be two local non-archimedean fields which are close. Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ be a standard inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and let $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D})$ be the standard inner form of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F})$ with the same Hasse invariant as G.

In this section, an object with a tilde will always be the counterpart over $\widetilde{F}$ of an object (without tilde) over F, and a superscript $\sharp$ means the subgroup of elements with reduced norm 1. Then $\widetilde{G}^\sharp = \widetilde{G}_{der}$ is an inner form of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\widetilde{F})$ with the same Hasse invariant as $G^\sharp$ and

$$\chi_{\widetilde{G}} = \chi_{\widetilde{G}^\sharp} = \chi_{G^\sharp} = \chi_G.$$

Let $\mathfrak{o}_D$ be the ring of integers of D, ϖ_D a uniformizer and $\mathfrak{p}_D = \varpi_D \mathfrak{o}_D$ its unique maximal ideal. The explicit multiplication rules in D [Wei2, Proposition IX.4.11] show that we may assume that a power of ϖ_D equals ϖ_F, a uniformizer of F.

Generalizing the notation for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, let $K_0 = \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathfrak{o}_D)$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup of G and define, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

$$K_r = \ker\left(\mathrm{GL}_m(\mathfrak{o}_D) \to \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathfrak{o}_D/\mathfrak{p}_D^r)\right) = 1 + \mathrm{M}_m(\mathfrak{p}_D^r).$$

We denote the category of smooth G-representations that are generated by their K_r-invariant vectors by $\mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r)$. Let $\mathcal{H}(G, K_r)$ be the convolution algebra of compactly supported K_r-biinvariant functions $G \to \mathbb{C}$. According to [BeDe, Corollaire 3.9]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, K_r)), \\ V & \mapsto & V^{K_r} \end{array} \tag{45}$$

is an equivalence of categories. The same holds for $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}_r)$.

From now on we suppose that F and $\widetilde{F}$ are l-close for some $l \geq r$, that is,

$$\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F^l \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^l \tag{46}$$

as rings. As remarked in [Del], for every local field of characteristic $p > 0$ and every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which is l-close to F.

Notice that (46) induces a group isomorphism $\mathfrak{o}_F^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^l \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^l$. A choice of uniformizers ϖ_F and $\varpi_{\widetilde{F}}$ then leads to

$$F^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^l \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathfrak{o}_F^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^l \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}^l/1 + \mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^l \cong \widetilde{F}^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^l. \tag{47}$$

With [Bad1, Théorème 2.4], (46) also gives rise to a ring isomorphism

$$\lambda_r : \mathfrak{o}_D/\mathfrak{p}_D^r \to \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{D}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{D}}^r, \tag{48}$$

which in turn induces a group isomorphism

$$\mathrm{GL}_m(\lambda_r) : K_0/K_r = \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathfrak{o}_D/\mathfrak{p}_D^r) \to \widetilde{K}_0/\widetilde{K}_r = \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{D}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{D}}^r).$$

Recall that the Cartan decomposition for G says that $K_0\backslash G/K_0$ can be represented by

$$A^+ := \{\mathrm{diag}(\varpi_D^{a_1}, \ldots, \varpi_D^{a_m}) \in \mathrm{GL}_m(D) : a_1 \leq \ldots \leq a_m\}.$$

Clearly A^+ is canonically in bijection with the analogous set $\widetilde{A}^+$ of representatives for $\widetilde{K}_0\backslash\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{K}_0$ (which of course depends on the choice of a uniformizer $\varpi_{\widetilde{D}}$). Since $K_r\backslash G/K_r$ can be identified with $K_r\backslash K_0 \times A^+ \times K_0/K_r$, that and $\mathrm{GL}_m(\lambda_r)$ determine a bijection

$$\zeta_r : K_r\backslash G/K_r \to \widetilde{K}_r\backslash\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{K}_r. \tag{49}$$

Most of the next result can be found in [Bad1, BHLS].

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that F and $\widetilde{F}$ are sufficiently close, in the sense that the l in (46) is large. Then the map $1_{K_r g K_r} \mapsto 1_{\zeta_r(K_r g K_r)}$ extends to a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra isomorphism*

$$\zeta_r^G : \mathcal{H}(G, K_r) \to \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}_r).$$

This induces an equivalence of categories

$$\overline{\zeta_r^G} : \mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}_r)$$

such that:

- (a) *$\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ respects twists by unramified characters and its effect on central characters is that of* (47).
- (b) *For irreducible representations, $\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ preserves temperedness, essential square-integrability and cuspidality.*
- (c) *Let be P a parabolic subgroup of G with a Levi factor M which is standard, and let $\widetilde{P}$ and $\widetilde{M}$ be the corresponding subgroups of $\widetilde{G}$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^G}} & \mathrm{Mod}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}_r) \\ \uparrow I_P^G & & \uparrow I_{\widetilde{P}}^{\widetilde{G}} \\ \mathrm{Mod}(M, K_r \cap M) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^M}} & \mathrm{Mod}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{K}_r \cap \widetilde{M}) \end{array}$$

  commutes.
- (d) *$\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ commutes with the formation of contragredient representations.*
- (e) *$\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ preserves the L-functions, ϵ-factors and γ-factors of supercuspidal representations.*

Proof. The existence of the isomorphism ζ_r^G is [Bad1, Théorème 2.13]. The equivalence of categories follows from that and (45).
(a) Let G^1 be the subgroup of G generated by all compact subgroups of G, that is, the intersection of the kernels of all unramified characters of G. Since K_r and $\widetilde{K}_r$ are compact, ζ_r restricts to a bijection

$$K_r \backslash G^1 / K_r \to \widetilde{K}_r \backslash \widetilde{G}^1 / \widetilde{K}_r.$$

Moreover, because $A^+ \to \widetilde{A}^+$ respects the group multiplication whenever it is defined, the induced bijection $G/G^1 \to \widetilde{G}/\widetilde{G}^1$ is in fact a group isomorphism. Hence ζ_r induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\zeta_r^{G/G^1}} : X_{nr}(G) = \mathrm{Irr}(G/G^1) \to \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{G}/\widetilde{G}^1) = X_{nr}(\widetilde{G}),$$

which clearly satisfies, for $\pi \in \mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r)$ and $\chi \in X_{nr}(G)$:

$$\overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi \otimes \chi) = \overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi) \otimes \overline{\zeta_r^{G/G^1}}(\chi).$$

The central characters can be dealt with similarly. The characters of $Z(G)$ appearing in $\mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r)$ are those of

$$Z(G)/Z(G) \cap K_r = F^\times / 1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^r.$$

Now we note that ζ_r^G and (47) have the same restriction to the above group.
(b) By [Bad1, Théorème 2.17], $\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ preserves cuspidality and square-integrability modulo centre. Combining the latter with part (a), we find that it also preserves essential square-integrability. A variation on the proof of [Bad1, Théorème 2.17.b] shows that temperedness is preserved as well. Alternatively, one can note that

every irreducible tempered representation in $\mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r)$ is obtained with parabolic induction from a square-integrable modulo centre representation in $\mathrm{Mod}(M, M \cap K_r)$, and then apply part (c).
(c) This property, and its analogue for Jacquet restriction, are proven in [BHLS, Proposition 3.15]. We prefer a more direct argument. The constructions in [Bad1, §2] apply equally well to $(M, K_r \cap M)$, so ζ_r induces an algebra isomorphism ζ_r^M and an equivalence of categories $\overline{\zeta_r^M}$. By [BuKu2, Corollary 7.12] the parabolic subgroup P determines an injective algebra homomorphism

$$t_P : \mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M) \to \mathcal{H}(G, K_r).$$

This in turn gives a functor

$$\begin{array}{rccc} (t_P)_* : & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M)) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, K_r)), \\ & V & \mapsto & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M)}(\mathcal{H}(G, K_r), V), \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(G, K_r)$ and V are regarded as $\mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M)$-modules via t_P. This is a counterpart of parabolic induction, in the sense that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Mod}(G, K_r) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(G, K_r)) \\ \uparrow I_P^G & & \uparrow (t_P)_* \\ \mathrm{Mod}(M, K_r \cap M) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M)) \end{array} \tag{50}$$

commutes [BuKu2, Corollary 8.4]. The construction of t_P in [BuKu2, §7] depends only on properties that are preserved by ζ_r^G (and its counterparts for other groups), so

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}(G, K_r) & \to & \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}_r) \\ \uparrow (t_P)_* & & \uparrow (t_{\widetilde{P}})_* \\ \mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M) & \to & \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{K}_r \cap \widetilde{M}) \end{array} \tag{51}$$

commutes. Now we combine (51) with (50) for G and $\widetilde{G}$.
(d) The contragredient of a $\mathcal{H}(G, K_r)$-module is defined via the involution $f^*(g) = f(g^{-1})$. The equivalence of categories (45) commutes with the formation of contragredients because $(V^*)^{K_r} \cong (V^{K_r})^*$. The map $\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ does so because ζ_r^G commutes with the involution $*$.
(e) For the ϵ- and γ-factors see [Bad1, Théorème 2.19]. By [GoJa, Propositions 4.4 and 5.11] $L(s, \pi) = 1$ unless $m = 1$ and $\pi = \chi \circ \mathrm{Nrd}$ with $\chi : F^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ unramified. This property is preserved by ζ_r^G, so $L(s, \overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi)) = 1$ if the condition is fulfilled. In the remaining case

$$L(s, \pi) = L(s + (d-1)/2, \chi) = (1 - q^{-s+(1-d)/2} \chi(\varpi_F))^{-1}.$$

The proof of part (a) shows that $\overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi) = \chi \circ \zeta_r^{F^\times} \circ \mathrm{Nrd}$, so

$$L(s, \overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi)) = (1 - q^{-s+(1-d)/2} \chi(\zeta_r^{F^\times}(\varpi_{\widetilde{F}})))^{-1} = (1 - q^{-s+(1-d)/2} \chi(\varpi_F))^{-1}. \quad \square$$

In [Bad3] Badulescu showed that Theorem 5.1 has an analogue for $G^\sharp$ and $\widetilde{G}^\sharp$, which can easily be deduced from Theorem 5.1. We quickly recall how this works. Note that M is a central extension of $M^\sharp = \{m \in M : \mathrm{Nrd}(m) = 1\}$. A few properties of the reduced norm [Wei2, §IX.2 and equation IX.4.9] entail

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Nrd}(K_r \cap M) = \mathrm{Nrd}(1 + \mathfrak{p}_D^r) = 1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^r, \\ &M^\sharp (K_r \cap M) = \{m \in M : \mathrm{Nrd}(m) \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^r\}. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Choose the Haar measures on M and $M^\sharp$ so that $\mathrm{vol}(K_r \cap M) = \mathrm{vol}(K_r \cap M^\sharp)$. The inclusion $M^\sharp \to M$ induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(M^\sharp, K_r \cap M^\sharp) \to \mathcal{H}(M^\sharp(K_r \cap M), K_r \cap M)& \\ := \{f \in \mathcal{H}(M, K_r \cap M) : \mathrm{supp}(f) \subset M^\sharp(K_r \cap M)\}&.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (52) and the isomorphism $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F^r \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^r$, ζ_r^M yields a bijection

$$\mathcal{H}(M^\sharp(K_r \cap M), K_r \cap M) \to \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{M}^\sharp(\widetilde{K}_r \cap \widetilde{M}), \widetilde{K}_r \cap \widetilde{M}).$$

Hence it induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\zeta_r^{M^\sharp} : \mathcal{H}(M^\sharp, K_r \cap M^\sharp) \to \mathcal{H}(\widetilde{M}^\sharp, \widetilde{K}_r \cap \widetilde{M}^\sharp).$$

Corollary 5.2. *Theorem 5.1 (except part e) also holds for the corresponding subgroups of elements with reduced norm 1.*

Proof. Using the isomorphisms $\zeta_r^{M^\sharp}$, this can be proven in the same way as Theorem 5.1 itself. □

As preparation for the next section, we will show that in certain special cases the functors $\overline{\zeta_r^G}$ preserve the L-functions, ϵ-factors and γ-factors of pairs of representations, as defined in [JPS2]. We consider one irreducible generic representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and another one, π', of $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)$. For $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$ and $W' \in W(\pi', \theta)$ one defines $\Psi(s, W, W')$ to be the integral

$$\int_{U_{n-1}\backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)} W\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{W'(g)}\, |\det(g)|_F^{s-1/2} \mathrm{d}\mu(g), \tag{53}$$

where μ denotes the quotient of Haar measures on $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)$ and on U_{n-1}. This integral is known to converge absolutely when $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ is large [JPS2, Theorem 2.7.i]. The contragredient representations $\check{\pi}$ and $\check{\pi}'$ are also generic. We define $\check{W} \in W(\check{\pi}, \theta)$ by

$$\check{W}(g) = W(w_n g^{-T}) \qquad g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F),$$

where g^{-T} is the transpose inverse of g and w_n is the permutation matrix with ones on the diagonal from the lower left to the upper right corner.

We denote the central character of π' by $\omega_{\pi'}$. With these notations the L-functions, ϵ-factors and γ-factors of the pair (π, π') are related by

$$\frac{\Psi(s, W, W')}{L(s, \pi \times \pi')} \epsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) = \omega_{\pi'}(-1)^{n-1} \frac{\Psi(1-s, \check{W}, \check{W}')}{L(1-s, \check{\pi} \times \check{\pi}')}, \tag{54}$$

$$\gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) = \epsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) \frac{L(1-s, \check{\pi} \times \check{\pi}')}{L(s, \pi \times \pi')}, \tag{55}$$

see [JPS2, Theorem 2.7.iii]. We regard these equations as definitions of the ϵ- and γ-factors.

Suppose that $\widetilde{F}$ is l-close to F and that $\widetilde{\psi} : \widetilde{F} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a character which is trivial on $\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}$. We say that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is l-close to ψ if $\widetilde{\psi}|_{\varpi_{\widetilde{F}}^{-l}\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}}$ corresponds to $\psi|_{\varpi_F^{-l}\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{o}_F}$ under the isomorphisms

$$\varpi_{\widetilde{F}}^{-l}\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}} \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}/\varpi_{\widetilde{F}}^l\mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}} \cong \mathfrak{o}_F/\varpi_F^l\mathfrak{o}_F \cong \varpi_F^{-l}\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{o}_F.$$

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that F and $\widetilde{F}$ are l-close for some $l > r$ and that $\widetilde{\psi}$ is l-close to ψ. Let $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_{r,n})$ be supercuspidal and let $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F), K_{r,n-1})$ be generic. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} L(s, \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi) \times \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)}}(\pi')) \;&=\; L(s, \pi \times \pi') \;=\; 1, \\ \epsilon(s, \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi) \times \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)}}(\pi'), \widetilde{\psi}) \;&=\; \epsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi), \\ \gamma(s, \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi) \times \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)}}(\pi'), \widetilde{\psi}) \;&=\; \gamma(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. It will follow from Theorem 6.1 that the above remains valid with any natural number instead of $n-1$ (except that the L-functions need not equal 1).

Proof. Since π and $\check{\pi}$ are supercuspidal, whereas π' and $\check{\pi}'$ are representations of a general linear group of lower rank, [JPS2, Theorem 8.1] assures that all the L-functions appearing here are 1. By (55) this implies that the relevant γ-factors are equal to the ϵ-factors of the same pairs. Hence it suffices to prove the claim for the ϵ-factors. We note that by Theorem 5.1

$$\omega_{\pi'}(-1)^{n-1} = \omega_{\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)}}\pi'}(-1)^{n-1}, \tag{56}$$

so from (54) we see that it boils down to comparing the integrals $\Psi(s, W, W')$ and $\Psi(1-s, \check{W}, \check{W}')$ with their versions for $\widetilde{F}$.

Fix a Whittaker functional λ' for (π', V') and a vector $v' \in V^{K_{r,n-1}}$. Then $W' := W_{v'} \in W(\pi', \theta)$ is right $K_{r,n-1}$-invariant. Similarly we pick $W = W_v \in W(\pi, \theta)$, but now we have to require only that W is right invariant under $K_{r,n-1}$ on $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Because θ is unitary, the function

$$\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) \to \mathbb{C} \colon g \mapsto W\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{W'(g)}$$

is constant on sets of the form $U_{n-1} g K_{r,n-1}$. Since the subgroup $K_{r,n-1}$ is stable under the automorphism $g \mapsto g^{-T}$, the functions $\check{W}$ and $\check{W}'$ are also right $K_{r,n-1}$-invariant. Both transform under left translations by U_{n-1} as $\overline{\theta}$, so

$$\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) \to \mathbb{C} \colon g \mapsto \check{W}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{\check{W}'(g)}$$

defines a function $U_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) / K_{r,n-1} \to \mathbb{C}$. Since $\det(K_{r,n-1}) \subset \mathfrak{o}_F^\times$ and $\det(U_{n-1}) = 1$, the function $|\det|_F$ can also be regarded as a map $U_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) / K_{r,n-1} \to \mathbb{C}$.

Now the idea is to transfer these functions to objects over $\widetilde{F}$ by means of the Iwasawa decomposition as in [Lem, §3], and to show that neither side of (54) changes.

Let $A_{\varpi_F} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n'}(F)$ be the group of diagonal matrices all whose entries are powers of ϖ_F. The Iwasawa decomposition states that

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(F) = \bigsqcup\nolimits_{a \in A_{\varpi_F}} U_n a K_{0,n}. \tag{57}$$

This, the canonical bijection $A_{\varpi_F} \to A_{\varpi_{\widetilde{F}}} \colon a \mapsto \widetilde{a}$ and the isomorphism $\mathrm{GL}_n(\lambda_r)$ from (48) combine to a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'_r \colon U_n \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(F) / K_{r,n} &\to \widetilde{U}_n \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F}) / \widetilde{K}_{r,n}, \\ U_n \, ak \, K_{r,n} &\mapsto \widetilde{U}_n \, \widetilde{a} \mathrm{GL}_n(\lambda_r)(k) \, \widetilde{K}_{r,n}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Because $\widetilde{\psi}$ is l-close to ψ we may apply [Lem, Lemme 3.2.1], which says that there is a unique linear bijection

$$\rho_n \colon W(\pi,\theta)^{K_{r,n}} \to W(\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi),\widetilde{\theta})^{\widetilde{K}_{r,n}} \tag{59}$$

which transforms the restriction of functions to $A_{\varpi_F} K_{0,n}$ according to ζ'_r. We will use (58) and (59) also with $n-1$ instead of n.

Put $\widetilde{W} = \rho_n(W)$ and $\widetilde{W'} = \rho_{n-1}(W')$. As (58) commutes with $g \mapsto g^{-T}$,

$$\widetilde{\check{W}} = \rho_n(\check{W}) \text{ and } \widetilde{\check{W}'} = \rho_{n-1}(\check{W}'). \tag{60}$$

These constructions entail that

$$\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\widetilde{F}) \to \mathbb{C} \colon \widetilde{g} \mapsto \widetilde{W}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{\widetilde{W'}(\widetilde{g})}$$

defines a function $\widetilde{U}_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\widetilde{F}) / \widetilde{K}_{r,n-1} \to \mathbb{C}$, and that

$$W\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{W'(g)} = \widetilde{W}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \zeta'_r(g) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{\widetilde{W'}(\zeta'_r(g))}. \tag{61}$$

It follows immediately from the definition of ζ'_r that

$$|\det(\zeta'_r(g))|_{\widetilde{F}} = |\det(g)|_F. \tag{62}$$

For the computation of $\Psi(s,W,W')$ we may normalize the measure μ such that every double coset $U_{n-1} \backslash U_{n-1} g K_{r,n-1}$ has volume 1, and similarly for the measure on $\widetilde{U}_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\widetilde{F})$. The equalities (61) and (62) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s,W,W') &= \sum_{g \in A_{\varpi_F} K_{0,n-1}/K_{r,n-1}} W\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{W'(g)} |\det(g)|_F^{s-1/2} \\ &= \sum_{\widetilde{g} \in A_{\varpi_{\widetilde{F}}} \widetilde{K}_{0,n-1}/\widetilde{K}_{r,n-1}} \widetilde{W}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \overline{\widetilde{W'}(\widetilde{g})} |\det(\widetilde{g})|_{\widetilde{F}}^{s-1/2} = \Psi(s,\widetilde{W},\widetilde{W'}). \end{aligned}$$

An analogous computation, additionally using (60), shows that

$$\Psi(s,\check{W},\check{W}') = \Psi(s,\widetilde{\check{W}},\widetilde{\check{W}'}).$$

The previous two equalities and (56) prove that all terms in (54), expect possibly the ϵ-factors, have the same values as the corresponding terms defined over $\widetilde{F}$. To establish the desired equality of ϵ-factors, it remains to check that $\Psi(s,W,W')$ is nonzero for a suitable choice of right $K_{r,n-1}$-invariant functions W and W'.

Take v' as above, but nonzero. Then $W' = W_{v'}$ is nonzero because $V' \cong W(\pi',\theta)$. Choose $g_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)$ with $W'(g_0) \neq 0$ and define $H : \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ by $H(g) = W'(g)$ if $g \in U_{n-1} g_0 K_{r,n-1}$ and $H(g) = 0$ otherwise. According to [Hen1, Lemme 2.4.1], there exists $W \in W(\pi,\psi)$ such that $W\left(\begin{smallmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = H(g)$ for all $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)$. Notice that such a W is automatically right invariant under $K_{r,n-1}$ on $\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Now we can easily compute the required integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s,W,W') &= \int_{U_{n-1} \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)} |H(g)|^2 |\det(g)|_F^{s-1/2} \mathrm{d}\mu(g) \\ &= \int_{U_{n-1} \backslash U_{n-1} g_0 K_{r,n-1}} |W'(g)|^2 |\det(g)|_F^{s-1/2} \mathrm{d}\mu(g) \\ &= \mu(U_{n-1} \backslash U_{n-1} g_0 K_{r,n-1}) |W'(g_0)|^2 |\det(g_0)|_F^{s-1/2} \neq 0. \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

6. Close fields and Langlands parameters

The section is based on Deligne's comparison of the Galois groups of close fields. According to [Del, (3.5.1)] the isomorphism (46) gives rise to an isomorphism of profinite groups

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)/\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{F}_s/\widetilde{F})/\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{F}_s/\widetilde{F})^l, \tag{63}$$

which is unique up to inner automorphisms. Since both $\mathbf{W}_F$ and $\mathbf{W}_{\widetilde{F}}$ can be described in terms of automorphisms of the residue field $\mathfrak{o}_F/\mathfrak{p}_F \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}$, (63) restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{W}_F/\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l \cong \mathbf{W}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{F}_s/\widetilde{F})^l. \tag{64}$$

We fix such isomorphism (63), and hence (64) as well. Another choice would correspond to another separable closure of F, so that is harmless when it comes to Langlands parameters. Take $r < l$ and recall the map $\mathbf{W}_F/\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l \to F^\times/1+\mathfrak{p}_F^r$ from local class field theory. By [Del, Proposition 3.6.1] the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^\times/1+\mathfrak{p}_F^r & \xrightarrow{\zeta_r} & \widetilde{F}^\times/1+\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^r \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{W}_F/\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l & \to & \mathbf{W}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{F}_s/\widetilde{F})^l \end{array} . \tag{65}$$

Notice that G and $\widetilde{G}$ have the same Langlands dual group, namely $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Hence (64) induces a bijection

$$\Phi_l^\zeta : \Phi_l(G) \to \Phi_l(\widetilde{G}). \tag{66}$$

In fact Φ_l^ζ is already defined on the level of Langlands parameters without conjugation-equivalence, and in that sense $\Phi_l^\zeta(\phi)$ and ϕ always have the same image in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. We remark that Φ_l^ζ can be defined in the same way for $G^\sharp$ and $\widetilde{G}^\sharp$, because these groups have the common Langlands dual group $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

We will prove that Φ_l^ζ describes the effect that

$$\overline{\zeta_r^G} \colon \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r) \to \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K_r})$$

has on Langlands parameters, when l is large enough compared to r. First we do so for general linear groups over fields.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that F and $\widetilde{F}$ are l-close and that $r < l$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}} & \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F}), \widetilde{K}_r) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{rec}_{F,n} & & \downarrow \mathrm{rec}_{\widetilde{F},n} \\ \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_l^\zeta} & \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F})) \end{array}$$

Proof. The proof will be conducted with induction to n. For $n = 1$ the diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}(F^\times/1+\mathfrak{p}_F^r) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^{F^\times}}} & \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{F}^\times/1+\mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^r) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{rec}_F & & \downarrow \mathrm{rec}_{\widetilde{F}} \\ \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_F/\mathrm{Gal}(F_s/F)^l) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_l^\zeta} & \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbf{W}_{\widetilde{F}}/\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{F}_s/\widetilde{F})^l) \end{array} , \tag{67}$$

which commutes by Deligne's result (65).

Now we fix $n > 1$ and we assume the theorem for all $n' < n$. Consider a supercuspidal $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r)$ with Langlands parameter $\phi = \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}(\pi) \in \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. By the construction of the local Langlands correspondence for general linear groups, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \ker \phi$ and ϕ is elliptic. By Theorem 5.1.b $\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F}), \widetilde{K}_r)$ is also supercuspidal. Let $\widetilde{\phi}_l \in \Phi_l(\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F}))$ be its Langlands parameter and write $\phi_l = (\Phi_l^\zeta)^{-1}(\widetilde{\phi}_l)$. Clearly $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \subset \ker \phi_l$ and ϕ_l is elliptic, so $\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi_l)$ is supercuspidal. By Theorem 5.1.e

$$\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi), \widetilde{\psi}) = \epsilon(s, \widetilde{\phi}_l, \widetilde{\psi}).$$

By [Del, Proposition 3.7.1] the right hand side equals

$$\epsilon(s, \widetilde{\phi}_l, \widetilde{\psi}) = \epsilon(s, \phi_l, \psi) = \epsilon(s, \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi_l), \psi),$$

so $\mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi_l)$ has the same ϵ-factor as π. Now we consider any generic $\pi' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F), K_{r,n-1})$ with Langlands parameter ϕ'. By Theorem 5.3, the induction hypothesis and [Del, Proposition 3.7.1]:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(s, \pi \times \pi', \psi) &= \epsilon(s, \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi) \times \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(F)}}(\pi'), \widetilde{\psi}) \\ &= \epsilon(s, \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}(\pi) \times \mathrm{rec}_{\widetilde{F},n-1}^{-1}(\Phi_l^\zeta(\phi')), \widetilde{\psi}) \\ &= \epsilon(s, \widetilde{\phi}_l \otimes \Phi_l^\zeta(\phi'), \widetilde{\psi}) \\ &= \epsilon(s, \phi_l \otimes \phi', \psi) \ = \ \epsilon(s, \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi_l) \times \pi', \psi). \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Together with Theorem 4.6 this implies $\pi \cong \mathrm{rec}_{F,n}^{-1}(\phi_l)$. Hence the diagram of the theorem commutes for supercuspidal $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r)$.

For non-supercuspidal representations in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r)$ it is easier. As already discussed in Section 2, the extension of the LLC from supercuspidal representations to $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is based on the Zelevinsky classification [Zel]. More precisely, the LLC is determined by:

- the parameters of supercuspidal representations;
- the parameter of the Steinberg representation;
- compatibility with unramified twists;
- compatibility with parabolic induction followed by forming Langlands quotients.

The Steinberg representation St of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is the only irreducible essentially square-integrable in the unramified principal series, which is tempered and has a real infinitesimal central character. By Theorem 5.1 the functor $\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}$ preserves all these properties, so it matches the Steinberg representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F})$. The Langlands parameter of St is trivial on $\mathbf{W}_F$ and its restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the unique irreducible n-dimensional representation of that group. This holds over any local non-archimedean field, so Φ_l^ζ matches the Langlands parameters of the Steinberg representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(\widetilde{F})$. By Theorem 5.1 the functor $\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}$ and its versions for groups of lower rank respect unramified twists, parabolic induction and Langlands quotients.

To determine the Langlands parameters of elements of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r)$ via the above method, one needs only representations (possibly of groups of lower rank) that have nonzero K_r-invariant vectors. We checked that in every step of this method the effect of $\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}}$ on the Langlands parameters is given by Φ_l^ζ. Hence the diagram of the theorem commutes for all representations in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F), K_r)$. $\square$

Because the LLC for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is closely related to that for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ itself, we can generalize Theorem 6.1 to inner forms.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_m(D)$ and $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{GL}_m(\widetilde{D})$, with the same Hasse invariant. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $l > r$ such that, whenever F and $\widetilde{F}$ are l-close, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^G}} & \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{K}_r) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{rec}_{D,m} & & \downarrow \mathrm{rec}_{\widetilde{D},m} \\ \Phi_l(G) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_l^\zeta} & \Phi_l(\widetilde{G}) \end{array}$$

In other words, Theorem 6.1 also holds for inner forms of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, but without a sharp lower bound for l.

Proof. The bijection (12) shows that we can write any $\pi \in \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r)$ as the Langlands quotient $L(P, \omega)$ of $I_P^G(\omega)$, where P is a standard parabolic subgroup, M is Levi factor of P and $\omega \in \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(M)$. Moreover we may assume that $M = \prod_j \mathrm{GL}_{m_j}(D)$ and $\omega = \otimes_j \omega_j$. The fact that π has nonzero K_r-invariant vectors implies $\omega_j \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{m_j}(D), K_r)$. By construction (10)

$$\mathrm{rec}_{D,m}(\pi) = \prod\nolimits_j \mathrm{rec}_{D,m_j}(\omega_j) = \prod\nolimits_j \mathrm{rec}_{F,dm_j}(\mathrm{JL}(\omega_j)). \tag{69}$$

The right hand side forces us to compare the Jacquet–Langlands correspondence with the method of close fields. In fact, this is how Badulescu proved this correspondence over local fields of positive characteristic. It follows from [Bad1, p. 742–744] that there exist $l > r' \geq r$ such that, whenever F and $\widetilde{F}$ are l-close, the following diagram commutes for all $k \leq m$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(\mathrm{GL}_k(D), K_r) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_k(D)}}} & \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_k(\widetilde{D}), \widetilde{K}_r) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{JL} & & \downarrow \mathrm{JL} \\ \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathrm{ess}L^2}(\mathrm{GL}_{kd}(F), K_{r'}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{kd}(F)}}} & \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{kd}(\widetilde{F}), \widetilde{K}_{r'}) \end{array} \tag{70}$$

Enlarge l so that Theorem 6.1 applies to $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{GL}_{kd}(F), K_{r'})$ for all $k \leq m$. By Theorem 5.1.c

$$\overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi) = L(\widetilde{P}, \overline{\zeta_r^M}(\omega)) = L(\widetilde{P}, \otimes_j \overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{m_j}(D)}}(\omega_j)).$$

Now (70) shows that

$$\mathrm{JL}(\overline{\zeta_r^M}(\omega)) = \otimes_j \mathrm{JL}(\overline{\zeta_r^{\mathrm{GL}_{m_j}(D)}}(\omega_j)) = \otimes_j \overline{\zeta_{r'}^{\mathrm{GL}_{dm_j}(F)}}(\mathrm{JL}(\omega_j)). \tag{71}$$

By (10) and Theorem 6.1

$$\mathrm{rec}_{\widetilde{D},m}(\overline{\zeta_r^G}(\pi)) = \prod\nolimits_j \mathrm{rec}_{\widetilde{F},dm_j}(\overline{\zeta_{r'}^{\mathrm{GL}_{dm_j}(F)}}(\mathrm{JL}(\omega_j))) = \prod\nolimits_j \Phi_l^\zeta(\mathrm{rec}_{F,dm_j}(\mathrm{JL}(\omega_j))).$$

Comparing this with (69) concludes the proof. $\square$

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, and hence of our main result Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1 when $\mathrm{char}(F) = p > 0$.
Choose $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Pi_\phi(G) \in \mathrm{Irr}(G, K_r)$ and choose $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that Theorem 6.2 applies. Find a p-adic field $\widetilde{F}$ which is l-close to F, fix a representative for ϕ and define $\widetilde{\phi}$ as the map $\mathbf{W}_F \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ obtained from ϕ via (64). Thus $\widetilde{\phi}$ is a particular representative for $\Phi_l^\zeta(\phi) \in \Phi_l(G)$. By Theorem 6.2 $\Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G}) \cong \overline{\zeta_r^G}(\Pi_\phi(G))$ and by Theorem 5.1

$$\mathrm{End}_{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G})) \cong \mathrm{End}_G(\Pi_\phi(G)).$$

Let $\phi^\sharp \in \Phi(G^\sharp)$ and $\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp \in \Phi(\widetilde{G}^\sharp)$ be the Langlands parameters obtained from ϕ and $\widetilde{\phi}$ via the quotient map $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. By construction $\phi^\sharp$ and $\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp$ have the same image in $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, so

$$\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp} = \mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp} = \mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}. \tag{72}$$

With (20) this provides natural isomorphisms

$$X^G(\Pi_\phi(G)) \cong \mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp} = \mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp} \cong X^{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G})).$$

In view of (67), the composite isomorphism $X^{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G})) \cong X^G(\Pi_\phi(G))$ comes from $F^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_F^r \cong \widetilde{F}^\times/1 + \mathfrak{p}_{\widetilde{F}}^r$. For $\widetilde{\gamma} \in X^{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G}))$, choose

$$I_{\widetilde{\gamma}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{G}}(\Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G}), \Pi_{\widetilde{\phi}}(\widetilde{G}) \otimes \widetilde{\gamma})$$

as in [HiSa, §12]. Then Theorem 5.1 yields intertwining operators
$I_\gamma \in \mathrm{Hom}_G(\Pi_\phi(G), \Pi_\phi(G) \otimes \gamma)$. Consequently

$$\kappa_{\phi^\sharp}(\gamma, \gamma') = I_\gamma I_{\gamma'} I_{\gamma\gamma'}^{-1} = I_{\widetilde{\gamma}} I_{\widetilde{\gamma}'} I_{\widetilde{\gamma}\widetilde{\gamma}'}^{-1} = \kappa_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp}(\widetilde{\gamma}, \widetilde{\gamma}'). \tag{73}$$

Because we already proved Theorem 3.1 for $\widetilde{F}$, this gives

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}, \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp}, \kappa_{\widetilde{\phi}^\sharp}] \cong e_{\chi_{\widetilde{G}}}\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}] = e_{\chi_G}\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}]. \tag{74}$$

That the isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}, \kappa_{\phi^\sharp}] \cong e_{\chi_G}\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}]$ is of the required form and that it is unique up to twists by characters of $\mathcal{S}_{\phi^\sharp}/\mathcal{Z}_{\phi^\sharp}$ follows from the corresponding statements over $\widetilde{F}$ and (72). $\square$

References

[Art] J. Arthur, "A note on L-packets", Pure Appl. Math. Quaterly **2.1** (2006), 199–217.

[ABPS] A.-M. Aubert, P. Baum, R.J. Plymen, M. Solleveld, "Geometric structure and the local Langlands conjecture", arXiv:1211.0180.

[Bad1] A. I. Badulescu, "Correspondance de Jacquet-Langlands pour les corps locaux de caractéristique non nulle", Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), 695–747.

[Bad2] A.I. Badulescu, "Un résultat d'irréductibilité en caractéristique non nulle", Tohoku Math. J. **56** (2004), 83–92.

[Bad3] A.I. Badulescu, "SL_n, orthogonality relations and transfer", Canad. J. Math. **59.3** (2007), 449–464.

[BHLS] A.I. Badulescu, G. Henniart, B. Lemaire, V. Sécherre, "Sur le dual unitaire de $\mathrm{GL}_r(D)$", Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), 1365-1396.

[BaRe] A.I. Badulescu, D. Renard, "Unitary dual of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ at archimedean places and global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence", Compositio Math. **146** (2010), 1115–1164.

[BeDe] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, "Le "centre" de Bernstein", pp. 1–32 in: *Représentations des groupes réductifs sur un corps local*, Travaux en cours, Hermann, Paris, 1984.

[Bor] A. Borel, "Automorphic L-functions", Proc. Symp. Pure Math **33.2** (1979), 27–61.

[Bus] C.J. Bushnell, "Hereditary orders, Gauss sums and supercuspidal representations of GL_N", J. reine angew. Math. **375/376** (1987), 184–210.

[BuFr] C.J. Bushnell, A. Frölich, "Non-abelian congruence Gauss sums and p-adic simple algebras", Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **50** (1985), 207–264.

[BuHe] C.J. Bushnell, G. Henniart, "Generalized Whittaker models and the Bernstein center", Amer. J. Math. **125** (2003), 513–547.

[BuKu1] C.J. Bushnell, P.C. Kutzko, The admissible dual of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ via compact open subgroups, Ann. Math. Study **129**, Princeton Univ. Press 1993.

[BuKu2] C.J. Bushnell, P.C. Kutzko, "Smooth representations of reductive p-adic groups: structure theory via types", Proc. London Math. Soc. **77.3** (1998), 582–634.

[Del] P. Deligne, "Les corps locaux de caractéristique p, limites de corps locaux de caractéristique 0", pp. 119–157 in: *Représentations des groupes réductifs sur un corps local*, Travaux en cours, Hermann, 1984.

[DKV] P. Deligne, D. Kazhdan, M.-F. Vigneras, "Représentations des algèbres centrales simples p-adiques", pp. 33–117 in: *Représentations des groupes réductifs sur un corps local*, Travaux en cours, Hermann, 1984.

[Dix] J. Dixmier, *Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations*, Cahiers Scientifiques **29**, Gauthier-Villars Éditeur, Paris, 1969.

[Gan] R. Ganapathy, *The Deligne–Kazhdan philosophy and the Langlands conjectures in positive characteristic*, PhD. Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette IN, 2012

[GeKn] S.S. Gelbart, A.W. Knapp, "L-indistinguishability and R-groups for the special linear group", Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), 101–121.

[GeKa] I.M. Gelfand, D.A. Kazhdan, "Representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$ where K is a local field", pp. 95–118 in: *Lie groups and their representations*, John Wiley and Sons, 1975.

[GoJa] R. Godement, H. Jacquet, *Zeta functions of simple algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **260**, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[GrRe] B.H. Gross, M. Reeder, "Arithmetic invariants of discrete Langlands parameters", Duke Math. J. **154** (2010), 431–508.

[HaTa] M. Harris, R. Taylor, "The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties", Annals of Math. Studies **151**, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 2001.

[Hen1] G. Henniart, "Caractérisation de la correspondance de Langlands locale par les facteurs ε de paires", Inv. Math. **113** (1993), 339–350.

[Hen2] G. Henniart, "Une preuve simple de conjectures de Langlands pour $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ sur un corps p-adique", Inv. Math. **139** (2000), 439–455.

[HiSa] K. Hiraga, H. Saito, "On L-packets for inner forms of SL_n", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 1013, Vol. **215** (2012).

[JPS1] H. Jacquet, I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro, J. Shalika, "Conducteur des représentations du groupe linéaire", Math. Ann. **256** (1981), 199–214.

[JPS2] H. Jacquet, I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro, J. Shalika, "Rankin–Selberg convolutions", Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 367–483.

[Kon] T. Konno, "A note on the Langlands classification and irreducibility of induced representations of p-adic groups", Kyushu J. Math. **57** (2003), 383–409.

[Kaz] D. Kazhdan, "Representations of groups over close local fields", J. Analyse Math. **47** (1986), 175–179.

[Kot] R.E. Kottwitz, "Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms", Duke Math. J. **51.3** (1984), 611–650.

[Lan] R.P. Langlands, "On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups", pp. 101–170 in: *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, Math. Surveys Monogr. **31**, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 1989.

[LRS] G. Laumon, M. Rapoport, U. Stuhler, "$\mathcal{D}$-elliptic sheaves and the Langlands correspondence", Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 217–238.

[Lem] B. Lemaire, "Représentations génériques de GL_N et corps locaux proches", J. Algebra **236** (2001), 549–574.

[Lus1] G. Lusztig, "Some example of square integrable representations of semisimple p-adic groups", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 623–653.

[Lus2] G. Lusztig, "Classification of unipotent representations of simple p-adic groups II", Represent. Theory **6** (2002), 243–289.

[Rog] J.D. Rogawski, "Representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ and division algebras over a p-adic field", Duke Math. J., **50.1** (1983), 161–196.

[Ser] J.-P. Serre, *Corps locaux*. Hermann, Paris, 1962.

[Vog] D. Vogan, "The local Langlands conjecture", pp. 305–379 in: *Representation theory of groups and algebras*, Contemp. Math. **145**, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI, 1993.

[Wei1] A. Weil, "Exercices dyadiques", Inv. Math. **27** (1974), 1–22.

[Wei2] A. Weil, *Basic number theory 3rd ed.*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **144**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

[Yu] J.-K. Yu, "Bruhat-Tits theory and buildings", pp. 53–77 in: *Ottawa lectures on admissible representations of reductive p-adic groups*, Fields Institute Monographs, 2009

[Zel] A.V. Zelevinsky, "Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II. On irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$", Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13.2** (1980), 165–210.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu – Paris Rive Gauche, U.M.R. 7586 du C.N.R.S., U.P.M.C., 4 place Jussieu 75005 Paris, France

E-mail address: aubert@math.jussieu.fr

Mathematics Department, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

E-mail address: baum@math.psu.edu

School of Mathematics, Southampton University, Southampton SO17 1BJ, England *and* School of Mathematics, Manchester University, Manchester M13 9PL, England

E-mail address: r.j.plymen@soton.ac.uk plymen@manchester.ac.uk

Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525AJ Nijmegen, the Netherlands

E-mail address: m.solleveld@science.ru.nl