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Abstract

Gamma distributions, which contain the exponential as a special case, have a distinguished place in the representation of near-Poisson randomness for statistical processes; typically, they represent distributions of spacings between events or voids among objects. Here we look at the properties of the Shannon entropy function and calculate its corresponding flow curves, relating them to examples of constrained degeneration from ordered processes. We consider also univariate and bivariate gamma, as well as Weibull distributions since these include exponential distributions.
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1 Introduction

The smooth family of gamma probability density functions is given by

$$f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) : x \mapsto e^{-\frac{\mu}{\kappa}x^{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\kappa\mu}\Gamma(\kappa)} \mu, \kappa > 0.$$  

(1)

Here $\mu$ is the mean, and the standard deviation $\sigma$, given by $\kappa = (\frac{\mu}{\kappa})^2$, is proportional to the mean. Hence the coefficient of variation $\frac{\sigma}{\mu}$ is unity in the case that (1) reduces to the exponential distribution. Thus, $\kappa = 1$ corresponds to an underlying Poisson random process complementary to the exponential distribution. When $\kappa < 1$ the random variable $X$ represents spacings between events that are more clustered than for a Poisson process and when $\kappa > 1$ the spacings $X$ are more uniformly distributed than for Poisson. The case when $\mu = n$ is a positive integer and $\kappa = 2$ gives the Chi-Squared distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom; this is the distribution of $\frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}$ for variances $s^2$ of samples of size $n$ taken from a Gaussian population with variance $\sigma^2$.

The gamma distribution has a conveniently tractable information geometry [1, 2], and the Riemannian metric in the 2-dimensional manifold of gamma distributions [1] is

$$[g_{ij}] (\mu, \kappa) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\kappa}{\mu^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{d^2}{d\kappa^2} \log(\Gamma) - \frac{1}{\kappa} \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(2)
Figure 1: Some examples of maximally extended geodesics passing through \((\mu, \kappa) = (1, 1)\) in the gamma 2-manifold.

So the coordinates \((\mu, \kappa)\) yield an orthogonal basis of tangent vectors, which is useful in calculations because then the arc length function is simply

\[
ds^2 = \frac{\kappa}{\mu^2} d\gamma^2 + \left( \frac{\Gamma'(\kappa)}{\Gamma(\kappa)} \right)' - \frac{1}{\kappa} \right) d\kappa^2.
\]

The system of geodesic equations is difficult to solve analytically but numerical solutions using the Mathematica programs of Gray [8] were obtained in [2]. Figure 1 shows a spray of some maximally extended geodesics emanating from the point \((\mu, \kappa) = (1, 1)\). Geodesic curves have tangent vectors that are parallel along them and yield minimal information arc length distances in the gamma 2-manifold. We note the following important uniqueness property:

**Theorem 1.1 (Hwang and Hu [9]):** For independent positive random variables with a common probability density function \(f\), having independence of the sample mean and the sample coefficient of variation is equivalent to \(f\) being the gamma distribution.

This property is one of the main reasons for the large number of applications of gamma distributions: many near-random natural processes have standard deviation approximately proportional to the mean [2]. Given a set of identically distributed, independent data values \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\), the ‘maximum likelihood’ or ‘maximum entropy’ parameter values \(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\kappa}\) for fitting the gamma distribution \((1)\) are computed in terms of the mean and mean logarithm of the \(X_i\) by maximizing the likelihood function

\[
L_f(\mu, \kappa) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i; \mu, \kappa).
\]
Figure 2: Shannon entropy function $S_f$ for the gamma family as a surface with respect to mean $\mu$ and $\kappa$ (left) and as a contour plot with entropy gradient flow and integral curves (right). The asymptote is $\kappa = 1$, the exponential case of maximum disorder.

By taking the logarithm and setting the gradient to zero we obtain

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\log \hat{\kappa} - \frac{\Gamma'(\hat{\kappa})}{\Gamma(\hat{\kappa})} = \log \bar{X} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log X_i$$

$$= \log \bar{X} - \log X.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

2 Gamma entropy flows

Papoulis [14] Chapter 15 gives an account of the role of the Shannon entropy function in probability theory, stochastic processes and coding theory. The entropy of (1) is shown in Figure 2 using

$$S_f = - \int_{0}^{\infty} f \log f \, dx : \mathbb{R}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\mu, \kappa \mapsto \kappa - \log \left( \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \right) + \log(\Gamma(\kappa)) - (\kappa - 1)\psi(\kappa)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

with gradient

$$\nabla S_f(\mu, \kappa) = \left( \frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{(\kappa - 1)(\kappa \psi'(\kappa) - 1)}{\kappa} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\psi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$ is the digamma function. At fixed $\kappa$, the entropy increases like $\log \mu$. At fixed mean $\mu$, the maximum entropy is given by $\kappa = 1$, the exponential distribution case of maximal disorder or chaos.

Figure 2 on the right shows entropy as a contour plot with superimposed also some examples of integral curves of the entropy gradient flow field, namely curves $c$ satisfying

$$c : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 : c(t) = \nabla S_f(c(t)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
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By inspection, we can see that the entropy gradient components are each in one variable only and in particular the first component has solution

$$\mu(t) = \mu_0 e^t$$

so the mean increases exponentially with time. Such curves represent typical trajectories for processes subordinate to gamma distributions; the processes become increasingly disordered as $\kappa \to 1$. The entropy gradient curves correspond to systems with external input—the mean increases as disorder increases. The asymptote is $\kappa = 1$, the exponential case of maximum disorder. Conversely, the reverse direction of the curves corresponds to evolution from total disorder to other states (clustered for $\kappa < 1$, and smoothed out, 'more crystal-like', for $\kappa > 1$) while the mean is allowed to reduce—somewhat like the situation after the Big Bang, see Dodson [4].

3 Constrained degeneration of order

Lucarini [12] effectively illustrated the degeneration of order in his perturbations of the simple 3D cubic crystal lattices (SC, BCC, FCC) by an increasing spatial Gaussian noise. Physically, the perturbing spatial noise intensity corresponds somewhat to a lattice temperature in the structural symmetry degeneration. With rather moderate levels of noise, quite quickly the three tessellations became indistinguishable. In the presence of intense noise they all converged to the 3D Poisson-Voronoi tessellations, for which exact analytic results are known [7]. Moreover, in all cases the gamma distribution was an excellent model for the observed probability density functions of all metric and topological properties. See also Ferenc and Néda [6] for some analytic approximations using gamma distributions for two and three dimensional Poisson-Voronoi cell size statistics. Lucarini provided plots showing the evolution of the mean and standard deviation of these properties as they converge asymptotically towards the Poisson-Voronoi case, illustrating the degeneration of crystallinity from $\kappa \sim \infty$ to lower values.

Of course, the constraint of remaining tessellations, albeit highly disordered ones, precludes convergence down to the maximum entropy limit $\kappa = 1$. In fact the limiting values are $\kappa \approx 16$ for number of vertices and the same for number of edges and $\kappa \approx 22$ for the number of faces; actually these are discrete random variables and the gamma is not appropriate. However, for the positive real random variables, polyhedron volume in the limit has $\kappa \approx 5.6$ and polygon face area $\kappa \approx 16$. Lucarini [11] had reported similar findings for the 2D case of perturbations of the three regular tessellations of the plane: square, hexagonal and triangular. There also the gamma distribution gave a good fit for the distributions during the degeneration of the regular tessellations to the 2D Poisson-Voronoi case; the limiting values were $\kappa \approx 16$ for the perimeter of polygons and $\kappa \approx 3.7$ for areas.

We can give another perspective on the level of constraint persistent in the limits for these disordered tessellations: for infinite random matrices the best fitting gamma distributions for eigenvalue spacings have $\kappa = 2.420$, 4.247, 9.606 respectively for orthogonal, unitary and symplectic Gaussian ensembles [2]. These values in a sense indicate the increasing statistical constraints of alge-
Table 1: Statistical properties of the spacings between consecutive prime numbers: mean $\mu_P$, standard deviation $\sigma_P$, coefficient of variation $cv_P = \frac{\sigma_P}{\mu_P}$, maximum likelihood gamma parameter $\kappa_P$, for each of the first ten blocks of 100,000 primes, and the overall data for the first 10 million primes and the first 100 million primes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prime Sequence</th>
<th>$\mu_P$</th>
<th>$\sigma_P$</th>
<th>$cv_P = \frac{\sigma_P}{\mu_P}$</th>
<th>$\kappa_P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-100,000</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000-200,000</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>11.93</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,000-300,000</td>
<td>15.05</td>
<td>12.48</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300,000-400,000</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>12.78</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400,000-500,000</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500,000-600,000</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600,000-700,000</td>
<td>16.08</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700,000-800,000</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>13.51</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800,000-900,000</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900,000-1,000,000</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-10,000,000</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-100,000,000</td>
<td>20.07</td>
<td>16.97</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the context of analytic number theory, gamma distributions give approximate distributions for the reported data on spacings between zeros of the Riemann zeta function \[13\]. The best fit gamma distributions to spacings between the first two million zeros of the Riemann zeta function has $\kappa \approx 5.6$ \[2\].

The spacings between consecutive prime numbers in successive blocks have surprisingly stable means and standard deviations, as we see in Table 1. This gives the mean $\mu_P$, standard deviation $\sigma_P$, coefficient of variation $cv_P$, maximum likelihood gamma parameter $\kappa_P$ for each of the first ten blocks of 100,000 primes, and the same data for the first 100 million primes. Of course, the gamma distribution is not a good fit, as we can see in Figure 3 for the first 100 million primes. From Table 1, the mean drifts up with the local mean size, as we would expect from the Prime Number Theorem, but so also does the standard deviation in proportion, hence keeping the coefficient of variation nearly constant. This stability suggests that there should be some qualitative number theoretic property which is being reflected and that the distribution of spacings among these early primes is somewhat close to a Poisson process ($\kappa = 1$).

For the SARS disease epidemic outbreak \[3, 15\] the gamma distribution gave a good model and the infection process was approximated by $\kappa \approx 3$, cf. \[5\].

### 4 Bivariate gamma processes

Next we consider the bivariate case of a pair of coupled gamma processes. The McKay family can be thought of as giving the probability density for the two random variables $X$ and $Y = X + Z$ where $X$ and $Z$ both have gamma distributions. This smooth bivariate family $M$ of density functions is defined in
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Figure 3: Frequency histogram of the spacings between the first 100 million primes and the maximum likelihood gamma frequencies (points) with $\kappa_p = 1.34$. The early peaks in rank order are at spacings of 6, 12, 10, 18, 2 and 4. The mean spacing $\mu_p = 20.07$ occurs close to rank order 11.

the positive octant of random variables $0 < x < y < \infty$ with parameters $\alpha_1, c, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and probability density functions

$$m(x,y) = \frac{e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}x^{\alpha_1-1}(y-x)^{\alpha_2-1}e^{-cy}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)}. \quad (8)$$

The marginal density functions, of $X$ and $Y$ are:

$$m_X(x) = \frac{e^{\alpha_1 x}x^{\alpha_1-1}e^{-cx}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}, \quad x > 0 \quad (9)$$

$$m_Y(y) = \frac{e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)y}(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)-1e^{-cy}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}, \quad y > 0. \quad (10)$$

Note that we cannot have both marginal distributions exponential. The covariance and correlation coefficient of $X$ and $Y$ are:

$$\sigma_{12} = \frac{\alpha_1}{c^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(X,Y) = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}. \quad (11)$$

Unlike other bivariate gamma families, the McKay information geometry is surprisingly tractable and there are a number of applications discussed in Arwini and Dodson [2]. In fact the parameters $\alpha_1, c, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are natural coordinates for the 3-dimensional manifold $M$ of this family. The Riemannian information metric is

$$[g_{ij}(\alpha_1, c, \alpha_2)] = \begin{bmatrix} \psi'(\alpha_1) & -\frac{1}{c} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{c} & \frac{1}{c^2} & \alpha_1+\alpha_2 \\ 0 & \alpha_1+\alpha_2 & \frac{1}{c} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)$$
Figure 4: Geodesics passing through \((c, \alpha_2) = (1, 1)\) (left) and a contour plot of the entropy with some integral gradient curves (right), in the McKay submanifold \(M_1\) which has \(\alpha_1 = 1\).

It is difficult to present graphics of curves in the McKay 3-manifold \(M\), but it has an interesting 2-dimensional submanifold \(M_1 \subset M\): \(\alpha_1 = 1\). The density functions are of form:

\[
h(x, y; 1, c, \alpha_2) = \frac{c^{1+\alpha_2} (y - x)^{\alpha_2 - 1} e^{-cy}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)},
\]

(12)

defined on \(0 < x < y < \infty\) with parameters \(c, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+\). The correlation coefficient and marginal functions of \(X\) and \(Y\) are given by:

\[
\rho(X, Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \alpha_2}}
\]

(13)

\[
h_X(x) = ce^{-cx}, \quad x > 0
\]

(14)

\[
h_Y(y) = \frac{c^{(1+\alpha_2)y^{\alpha_2}e^{-cy}}}{\alpha_2 \Gamma(\alpha_2)}, \quad y > 0
\]

(15)

In fact \(\alpha_2 = \frac{1 - \rho^2}{\rho^2}\), which in applications would give a measure of the variability not due to the correlation. The matrix of metric components \([g_{ij}]\) on \(M_1\) is

\[
[g_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1+\alpha_2}{e} & -\frac{1}{e} \\
-\frac{1}{e} & \psi'(\alpha_2)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(16)

Some geodesics emanating from \((\alpha_2, c) = (1, 1) \in M_1\) are shown on the left of Figure 4. The density functions can be presented also in terms of the positive
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McKay entropy $S_{m|M_1}$

Figure 5: Surface representation of the Shannon entropy function $S_m$ for the submanifold $M_1$ of the McKay family with respect to parameter $\alpha_2$ and correlation coefficient $\rho$ (left), and contour plot (right). Superimposed also are gradient flow arrows and the thick curve is the locus of maximum entropy. The two thinner curves show the loci of $\alpha_1 = 1$ (upper curve) and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ (lower curve), which correspond, respectively, to Poisson random processes for the $X$ and $Y$ variables.

parameters $(\alpha_1, \sigma_{12}, \alpha_2)$ where $\sigma_{12}$ is the covariance of $X$ and $Y$

$$m(x, y; \alpha_1, \sigma_{12}, \alpha_2) = \frac{(\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_{12}})^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} x^{\alpha_1-1} (y-x)^{\alpha_2-1} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma_{12}^2}} y}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \Gamma(\alpha_2)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$m_X(x) = \frac{(\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_{12}})^{\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_1-1} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma_{12}^2}} x}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)}, \quad x > 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$m_Y(y) = \frac{(\frac{\alpha_1}{\sigma_{12}})^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} y^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2-1} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\sigma_{12}^2}} y}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}, \quad y > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The entropy function is

$$S_m: \mathbb{R}^{3+} \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\alpha_1, c, \alpha_2) \mapsto \sqrt{\alpha_1} e^{-\alpha_1^{-1} K}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$K = \log \frac{c^2}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \Gamma(\alpha_2)} + (\alpha_1 - 1) \psi(\alpha_1) + (\alpha_2 - 1) \psi(\alpha_2) - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2).$$

On $M_1$

$$S_{m|M_1} = \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \log \frac{c^2}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} + (\alpha_2 - 1) \psi(\alpha_2) - (1 + \alpha_2) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$\nabla S_{m|M_1} = \left( \frac{2}{c^3} \left( \log \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_2)}{c^2} - \psi(\alpha_2)(\alpha_2 - 1) + \alpha_2 + 2 \right), \frac{1}{c^2} (\psi'(\alpha_2)(\alpha_2 - 1) - 1) \right).$$

On the right of Figure 4 is a contour plot of the entropy showing its gradient field and some integral curves. It may be helpful to express the entropy in terms
Weibull entropy $S_w(\xi, \beta)$

Figure 6: Surface representation of the Shannon entropy function $S_w$ for the Weibull family (left), and contour plot (right) with gradient flow and integral curves.

of $\alpha_2$ and $\rho$, which gives

$$S_{m|M_1} = \frac{4\rho^4}{(\rho^2 + 1)^2} \left( \log \left( \frac{\rho^2 + 1}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} \right) + (\alpha_2 - 1) \psi(\alpha_2) - (1 + \alpha_2) \right). \quad (22)$$

The McKay entropy in $M_1$ with respect to $\alpha_2, \rho$ is shown in Figure 5 (left) with the gradient flow on a contour plot (right) together with the approximate locus curve of maximum entropy. The two thinner curves show the loci of $\alpha_1 = 1$ (upper curve) and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ (lower curve), which correspond, respectively, to Poisson random processes for the $X$ and $Y$ variables.

Qualitatively, what we may see in Figure 5 is that for correlated random variables $X$ and $Y$ subordinate to the bivariate gamma density (12), the maximum entropy locus is roughly hyperbolic. The maximum entropy curve is rather insensitive to the correlation coefficient $\rho$ when $\alpha_2 > 1$ and the difference $Y - X$ is dispersed more evenly than Poisson. When $Y - X$ is actually Poisson random, with $\alpha_2 = 1$, the critical value is at $\rho \approx 0.355$. However, as $\alpha_2$ reduces further—corresponding to clustering of $Y - X$ values—so the locus turns rapidly to increasing correlation. If we take the situation of a bivariate gamma process with constant marginal mean values, then the McKay probability density has constant correlation coefficient $\rho$; in this case the gradient flow lies along lines of constant $\alpha_2$.

Dodson [5] gives an application to an epidemic model in which the latency and infectivity for individuals in a population are jointly distributed properties controlled by a bivariate gamma distribution.

5 Weibull processes

Like the gamma family, the Weibull family of distributions contains the exponential distribution as a special case; it has wide application in models for reliability and lifetime statistics for random variable $t > 0$. The probability
density function can be presented in terms of positive parameters $\xi, \beta$

$$w : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : t \mapsto \frac{\beta}{\xi} \left( \frac{t}{\xi} \right)^{\beta-1} e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right)^\beta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

In applications of (23), reliability $R(t)$ is the probability of survival to time $t$ and it is related to the failure rate $Z(t)$ at time $t$ through

$$R(t) = \int_t^\infty w(t) \, dt = e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\xi}\right)^\beta} \text{ and } Z(t) = \frac{w(t)}{R(t)} = \beta \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \right)^\beta t^{\beta-1}. \hspace{1cm} (24)$$

The Weibull mean, standard deviation and entropy are

$$\mu_w = \xi \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}) \hspace{1cm} (25)$$

$$\sigma_w = \xi \sqrt{\Gamma \left( \frac{\beta+2}{\beta} \right) - \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right)^2} \hspace{1cm} (26)$$

$$S_w(\xi, \beta) = -\log(\beta) - \log \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \right) - \frac{\gamma}{\beta} + \gamma + 1 \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

$$\nabla S_w(\xi, \beta) = \left( \frac{1}{\xi}, \frac{\gamma - \beta}{\beta^2} \right). \hspace{1cm} (28)$$

In (27), $\gamma$ is the Euler constant, of value approximately 0.577.

In case $\beta = \frac{1}{n}$ for positive integer $n$, then the coefficient of variation is

$$\frac{\sigma_w}{\mu_w} = \sqrt{\frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}} - 1,$$

and we see that the case $\beta = 1$ reduces (23) to the exponential density with $\mu_w = \sigma_w = \xi$ and hence unit coefficient of variation. Figure 6 shows a surface plot of the Weibull entropy $S_w$ and a corresponding contour plot with gradient flow and some integral curves. There is a resemblance to the gamma distribution entropy in Figure 2 and from (28) again here we have $\mu_w(t) = \mu_w(0) e^t$, but in the Weibull case the asymptotic curve has $\beta = \gamma \approx 0.577$, which corresponds to a process with coefficient of variation $\approx 4.65$ compared with unity for the exponential distribution and Poisson randomness.
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